FORMOSAN REFLEXES OF PAN NASAL/ORALS1

Paul K. Benedict

The P[roto]A[ustro]N[esian] nasal/orals, consisting of nasal + homorganic obstruent or spirant, correspond directly to similar elements in P[roto]M[iao]Y[ao] and have regular reflexes in P[roto]T[hai] (Benedict 1975: Introduction to Glossary). It is a puzzling fact, however, that these elements are poorly represented in all three groups of Formosan languages (East Formosan = Paiwanic, Atayalic, Tsouic). At the 'other end' of the AN domain, the P[roto]E[astern]O[ceanic] reflexes have presented a problem, but the reflexes worked out by Biggs (1965) appear to fit fairly well in the over-all A[ustro]T[hai] pattern:

TABLE 1
PEO. CONSONANTS AND THEIR PAN. AND PPN. CORRESPONDENCES

PAN	рb	mp mb	t nt	d D	nd nD	1	r	s z	c j Z	ns nz nc n	j n Z
PEO	р	mp	t nt	d	n d	1	r		s	ns.	
PPN	f	р	t	r	1				s	h	
PAN	k g	ŋk ŋ	g min	ñ	ŋ w	q	R	h y			
PEO	k	nk	m n		ŋ w	?	R	øу			
PPN		k	m n		ŋ w	?	ø	ø (ø	3)		

TABLE 2									
	ORAL	AND	NASAL	GRADE	REFLEXES	OF	PEO.	PHONEMES	

		*t	*n t	,* d	*nd	* s	*ns	*k	*ŋk
V	ь	t	d	r	dr	s	С	ķ	P
f	Р	t	t	1	1	s	ø	?	k
f	P	t	t	ø	1	h	h	k	k
wh/h	Р	t	t	r	r	h	ø	k	ķ
h	p/q	ø	d	r	d	t/s	d	?	k
p/v	mb	t	n d	r	nd	s	h	k/g	ngg
v/w	p/q	t	t	r	r	s	s	k/g	q
	f f wh/h h p/v	f p f p wh/h p h p/q p/v mb	f p t f p t wh/h p t h p/q ø p/v mb t	f p t t f p t t wh/h p t t h p/q ø d p/v mb t nd	f p t t l f p t t ¢ wh/h p t t r h p/q ø d r p/v mb t nd r	f p t t l l f p t t ¢ l wh/h p t t r r h p/q ø d r d p/v mb t nd r nd	f p t t l l s f p t t ø l h wh/h p t t r r h h p/q ø d r d t/s p/v mb t nd r nd s	f p t t l l s ø f p t t ø l h h wh/h p t t r r h ø h p/q ø d r d t/s d p/v mb t nd r nd s h	f p t t l l s ø ? f p t t ø l h h k wh/h p t t r r h ø k h p/q ø d r d t/s d ? p/v mb t nd r nd s h k/g

Biggs, who distinguishes between oral 'grade' and nasal 'grade', points out that there are many doublets in the daughter languages (e.g. Fi. kari scrape, qari scratch) and that the correlation among cognates is imperfect. Many scholars, including Biggs (op cit.) and Haudricourt (1962), have speculated as to a possible underlying morphemic process, with the latter writer (Haudricourt 1965) specifically pointing to the rarity of this 'nasal grade' in Formosa as an indication of a relatively late origin for the feature. This would indeed represent a cogent argument for a late origin, given the generally archaic nature of Formosan phonology, were it not for the correspondences with nasal/ orals or specific reflexes in the mainland AT languages; cf. the following table (from Benedict 1975):

TABLE 3

AUSTRO-THAI CONSONANTS (NASAL/ORAL AND NASAL)

AT	Indo- nesian	Form: East	Kadai [>Thai]	Miao-Yao
mp	mp/p	b	b	mp(h)
mb	mb/b	mb∿m	mb∿m	mb
m	m	m	m	m
•				
n t	nt/t	n t∿d	d	nt(h)
n d	nd/d	n	n	n d
n	n	n	n	n
nts	n t ' / t'	dz	dz∿z	nts(h)
ndz	n d ' / d '	-	n	n d z
ns	nh	ns	n/z	s
n z	nd/d	n	n	ń

Table 3 (cont.)

AT	Indo- nes i an	Form: East	Kadai [>Thai]	Miao-Yao
nc	ńk'/k'	-	j	-
nj	ń g ′ / g ′	- ·	n	-
[ń ś]	ń	-	z	ń∿n
[ሰ ½]	nz	-	ńj[>ń]	-
ńу	ń	-	ńy [>ń]	-
ń	ń	ń	ń	ų
ŋĸ	ŋk/k	g	g	ŋk(h)∿nts(h)
ŋġ	ŋg/g	-	ŋ/ø	ŋg∿ndz
ŋ	Û	ŋ	ŋ/ø	ń
Nq	(ŋ)k/k	ŋ∿k	G[> γ]	Nq(h)
NG	ŋ(g)/g	-	N[>n]	NG∿ŋg
N	-	-	N	ŋ

Further details are spelled out in an additional table from the same source (Benedict 1975):

TABLE 4

AUSTRO-THAI REFLEXES FOR ORALS AND NASAL-ORALS

Austro-Thai	* P	*mp	* b	*mb
Hova (IN)	f	(m)p	v	mb
Proto-Oceanic	*p	*mp	*p	*mp
Samoan (PN)	f	Þ	f	P
Dobu (SEP)	ø	b	ø	b
Tsou (Form.)	p	Ь	f	b
Pazeh (Form.)	p	'b	Ь	m
Thai	*p	*b	* b	* m
Lao	p (h.t.)	p (1.t.)	p (l.t.)	m
Miao: PE	*p (h.t.)	*p (h.t.)	*p (1.t.)	* m
Yao: PY	*p (h.t.)	*b (h.t.)	*p (l.t.)	*b (1.t.)

As indicated in the above table, which makes use of the labial series by way of illustration, the P[roto]Y[ao] reflexes for P[roto]AT *mp and *mb parallel those of Tsou (Formosa) but with a tonal distinction, while the PT reflexes throughout are precisely those of Pazeh (Formosa). The material bearing on these reflexes in Tsou, Pazeh and other Formosan languages is in general very scanty, especially for the Atayalic and Tsouic groups. Atayal itself lacks nasal/orals and the few examples

(labials only) found in the related Sedik appear to be largely secondary; cf. Sed. simburaŋan spear (cf. Ata: Ci'uli dial. sinbaźaŋan); kəmpah work (cf. komopach, id., cited by Bullock 1874); ?mpusa! 20 (cf. Ata: Ci'uli mapusal < *ma/pusal); səmpu count, from *s/m/[i]pu[γ] (cf. Pai. samupu, Bun. masipul). There is, however, one bit of evidence for Atayalic *b as the reflex of PAN *mp: cf. Ata: Ci'uli bokwi the back, bukwi back/behind; Sed. bukwi the back; back/behind, from *buku[r] via *bukui; IN *puŋku[r] hind-part (Ja. behind); PEO *mpuku: Fi. buku pointed hind-end, tail < PAN *(m)pu(n)kur, a comparison greatly strengthened by the Pazeh cognate (below). Atayal also has the doublet bao-lyeq \circ mao-lyeq hibiscus, from *(m)ba[γ]u-; IN *ba γ u = *(m)ba γ u (Hova varu = baru), id. Initial *(N)q- is to be reconstructed for Atayalic for the following root: Ata. qumah to work the field, qemayah field (dry), from *q u m/al/ah (Ata. -y- < *-1-); Sed. kəmpah < *kumupah work (above), kampahan field (dry), from *kumu[h]- < **Nqumu[h]- < *Nquma[h]- (vocalis assim. as in Rukai, below) < Atayalic *(N)qum[ah]; East Formosan *qumah and (Sai.) *qum/qumah field (dry); also (Pax.) *quma/mah and (Ruk.) *qumu/umah [< *qumah/qumah by assim.], id.; also (Ami Sir.) *m/qumah work; IN *?uma cultivated field; PEO *?uma garden; to work/plant/clear ground; PPN *kumala sweet potato (= the cultivated plant; contrast same infixed form in Ata. = the cultivated land), from *kum/ai/a < *Nqum/ai/a[h]; PT *man potato/sweet potato/yam [generic term], from *[qu]mal[ah]; PLi *mwal sweet potato, from *umal < *[q]umal[ah] < *[q]um/al[/ah]. Finally, Ata. r < *d ∿ *dz apparently reflects an earlier *nt in pareq < *padiq intestinal worm, from *pantiq < *pantiaq (regular Formosan shift); PT tiak, id., from *[]tyak < PAT</pre> *[pa](n)tiaq; also an earlier *nts in ramo? < *dzamu? blood, from *ntsamu? (see below), while Ata. n reflects an earlier *ng in Nəbun wasp, from *nubun (stressed form); P[roto]Li (Kadai) *voon < *bwoon < []obon classifier for bee; P[roto]M[iao] *ng[ai] wasp/bee, from PMY *ng[ou] < *ngow < *ngob[on] < PAT *ngobon.

The Tsouic evidence for reflexes of PAN nasal/orals in even more limited, if anything, with almost no reliable comparative material bearing on the problem. Tsou and Kanakanabu occasionally show clusters (probably secondary) of this type; cf. Tsou nsou breath, eansous breath; Tsou mpusku 20 from a prefixed *ma/ form (cf. Sedik, above; also Kan. mapusauu, Saa. mapua < *ma/pusal); Kan. kinti thorn; Kan. nkou monkey (cf. Tsou nu?xou ~ nu?hou ~ nhou); Kan. tsunkutsu bridge (cf. Saa. tokoso). As indicated in the above table, Tsou regularly has initial f- for PAN (and Tsouic) *b; Tsou initial b- appears to be the reflex of PAN *mb- as well as *mp-; cf. Tsou ba?i grandmother (also in East Formosan: Kuv. ba:i, id.); IN *bayi = (m)ba[?]i (Tg. ba:?i mother/

grandmother, Ja. bayi infant); Tsou boki penis, from *buki < *mpuki < *mpuNqi (see below); IN *puki vulva (these two body parts are often found in association). Another bit of evidence indicates that the Kan. reflex of PAN *mb- is m-; cf. Kan. mumu breast; Ata. bubu?, id. (perhaps also Sed. bubu mother, if not related to grandfather root, below), from *(m)bu(m)bu[?]; cf. also Saa. manusipi alive/live < *ma/Nqutsip; IN *?udip, id. < AT *(N)quzip. This scanty evidence for the labial series suggests that *mb- and perhaps *mp- are to be reconstructed at the Proto-Tsouic level, but the necessary comparative material is not at hand.

In contrast to Atayalic and Tsouic, the East Formosan languages present a sizeable body of evidence for specific reflexes of PAN nasal/orals. The evidence is best for the labial series, very scant for the velar and post-velar series, as seen in the following:

PAN *mp: the regular reflex appears to be *b; Sai. -mp- is probably secondary in rampuz ten (Og.-As.; cf. lanpez [Tsuchida]) and perhaps also in ?ampowa why; Puy: Rikavong dial. has təmpok < *təmpuk hit(with fist) possibly related to PAN *(m)puk(m)puk, as represented by Sir. bouchbouck (= bukbuk), id.; IN *pukpuk beat with a tool. reflex appears in Paz. bukun the back; back/behind, from PAN *(m)pu(n)kur (cf. the Atayal cognate, above); also Pai. vuvu, Ami fufu, Fav. boeboe (= bubu) grandparents (perhaps also Sed. bubu mother, above), from *bubu < *mpumpu; IN *pu sir [term of respect for older males], a doublet of *=(m)pu grandfather/grandson (= grandparent/grandchild); PO *mpu: Fi. bu father's parents (Bau), mother's mother (Nausori), also *mpumpu: Motu bubu term of address to elders [the normal term for 'grandparent' in Malekula and other parts of the New Hebrides]; PT *phu ∿ *bu male (human, animals, birds), from *(m)pu; P[roto]K[am]S[ui] (Kadai) *bu father, from *mpu; also Puy: Hinan dial. tabi mortar (= the pounder), Ruk. /bi:bi wipe (partially reduplicated form); IN *ta(m)pi remove dust and chaff, winnow (NgD. pound rice); PEO *ta(m)pi: Fu. ta/tafi sweep (Demp. cleanse), tapi wash (Demp.), Sm. tafi sweep, ma/tafi wiped away (Demp.), tapi wipe off (Demp.); PT *wi fan, from *(bi)bi < *[ta]mpi/mpi (cf. Rukai); Dioi pi (1.t.) (comp.) fan, from *bi < *mpi; PKS *bi: Mak pəi (1.t.) fan, pəi pəi winnow (rice), from [ta]mpi and *[ta]mpi/mpi; P[roto]Y[ao] *pei to skim off [rice water] (Highland Yao), from *pi < *[ta]pi; also Ami ma?far [< *ma/q[ə]bar], Fav. mabart [app. = *ma?bar] fly (Thao has marfað < *ma/r/ba[R]); IN *hampa[r] = *[?]ampa[y] spread out, stretch out (Ml. hampar spread out (as mats, carpets), hampar/an carpet; Ja. hampar (spread the wings =) swoop (birds); Chamorro gwafag mat [Dahl cit.]); PEO *?empa (from ə vowel doublet; cf. Ami): To. ?e/?epa spread out, Sm. epa mat, from

PAN *q[a]mpa[R]. In addition to the above, the *b reflex also appears in East Formosan (Ami Pai. Ruk. Paz.) *(ta)balana(n) arm/armpit/shoulder/wing, from *(ta)mp/al/ana(/n), also *balanalanan arm/armpit (Pai. only), from *mp/al/ana/l/ana/n (cf. IN *lenen forearm, app. from the reduplicated root through stress reduction [*a>ə] in the final segments); N. Thai *vian hand, from *pwian < *[t]pan; Laqua (Kadai) pan arm, Lati (Kadai) ta pe ∿ pen shoulder, from *(ta)p[a]n; P[roto]W[estern]M[iao] *mpan hand/arm from PMY *mp[aa]n, from *[t]ampan < PAT *ta(m)pan[a].

PAN *mb: appears in two Saisiat entries, both with significant cognates in IN; cf. Sai. tombok (Tsuchida), tomobok (Og.-As.) (with epenthetic vowel) < *tumbuk kill (= run a person through); IN *tə(m)buk perforate, from *ta(m)buk (stressed form), also *tumbuk thrust through (Hova perforated) (assim. form, as in Saisiat); PEO *tompu: Fi. tobu hole in river bed, from *təmbu[k]; PT *?buak tube/pipe (water)/quiver/ container for chopsticks (Tho-Nung), also *hmook quiver/tube/gun (SW), from *hmuak; both from an earlier *?(m)buak < *[t]a(m)buk < PAT *[t][a](m)buk also Sai. rimbutul grass; cf. IN *rumput, id.; Ong-Be (Kadai) bət ∿ bət < *pot, id. < PAT *[ro](m)pot. This element also appears in Thao qumbu snake (app. isolated form), but it is best represented in Bunun; cf. Bun. tambo < *tambu wet (rice) field (app. isolated form), also the following two forms, which have IN cognates showing *m rather than the anticipated *mb: Bun. sumban < *tsumban breathe; IN *t'umaget spirit (Geist), from *tsumag/t (unstressed suffix); PT *[h]ma[a]n imaginary evil spirit (Ahom); N. Thai *mwaan genie, from *[ts]um[b]an; PKS *hmaan spirit/demon/ghost (Mak), from *s[]maŋ < *ts[]m[b]aŋ; also Bun. tomboś ∿ tumbəś (assim.) body louse, Thao tumbus, id. (app. loan from Bunun), Sai. somäh < *tumas, id., Ami tumus \sim tomus \sim tomas body louse/flea, Kuv. tumas body louse/gnat (cf. Bunun/Thao assim.); IN *tuma louse, clothes louse; PT *hmat body louse/ plant louse/flea, also * bat kind of dog louse (Ahom), from an original *?(m)bat; PKS *hmat flea; P[roto]Li *m[ua]t, id., from *[]um[b]at < PAT *pru(m)baś. In the latter root the PT doublet furnishes support for the reconstruction of PAT medial *-mb- rather than *-m-; a third root, however, with Bunun medial -m-, must be reconstructed with *-(m)b- on the basis of the East Formosan forms: Pai. quvis \sim qovis, Puy. ?ovi ~ obi, Ruk. obisi ~ ubisi ~ ubusi [assim.], Thao qu:mis, Ami kuməs ∿ koməs, Bun. komis pubic hair (Pai. also axillary hair) < *(N)qu(m)bis (see below for initial); also (second. voicing < reduplication) Sai. romis < *[G]umis beard; Atayal has kumis body hair/public hair/feather/down/beard; Tsou has fusifusi < *[qu]bus/[qu]bus (cf. Rukai assim.), also the apparent doublet: mu?muu ~ m?um?u body hair/ beard, from *qumu[s]/qumu[s] (cf. Kan. mu:mus beard); IN *kumit'

[< *kumits] beard, also (second, voicing; cf. Saisiat) gumi [< *gumis],</pre> id.; PT *hmooy pubic/axillary hair (Shan also beard), from *qomiy < *qomis; Dioi mi (h.t.), from *hmi < *q[ə]mis (with unstressed vowel); also PT *m[o]m beard (Tho-Nung; cf. Tsou/Kan.); Dioi mom ∿ mum body hair/beard; PLi *miim beard/whiskers < PAT *qo(m)bi(t)s. In still another root medial *-(m)b- must be reconstructed at the PAT level on the basis of the PMY cognate despite the lack of mb as a reflex even in Bunun (the IN cognate is lacking): East Formosan *tumay; Tsouic *tsumay; Atyalic *k[r]umay (Sed. kumay ∿ sumay) bear, n.; PT *hmi, id., from *qmay (*-ay > *-i after *q), also the doublet *hmiay bear, large sp. (Lao), from *hmyay < *qəmay (with unstressed ə vocalism); N. Thai *mui (h.t.), from *hmuy < *qmuay (influence from *q, as in PT) < *qumay < *[kr]um[b]ay; PKS *?muy ∿ *myay, from *qumay ∿ *qəmay (cf. the PT doublet); Li (White Sand) moi; PMY *krop < *krup < *krub[ay] < PAT Three East Formosan roots must be reconstructed with *mb or *(m)b on the basis of comparisons with IN and/or Atayalic: Paz. muta:mak cut (person), from *m/tambak; IN tabak chopping knife (Tg.), to clear forest (= chop down trees) (TB.), from *tabak (with stressed vowel); PT *vak cut/chop/mince, from *[]bak < PAT *[t][a](m)bak; also Ami kahmmau ∿ kahmau ∿ dahmau ∿ ahmau light (weight), from */h[ə]mbaw; Ata. lahbao ∿ lehbao < */h[e]baw, id.; PT *?baw ∿ *[h]maw (Ahom), id. < PAT *h[ə](m)baw; also Sir. mani < *mali[s], Kuv. balis, Thao bali0 iron, from *(m)balis (with 'irregular' final, possibly through assimilation to the front vowel, and a likely loan from Atayalic); Ata. baliq < *ba[x]liaq iron/metal; IN *bat'i = *(m)bat'i, id. (Hova basi musket, although Dahl considers this word a loan), from *(m)bakli(aq); also (doublet with unstressed vowel) *bət'i (Hova vi iron); PEO *pesi: Fi. vesi name of a spear; PT *hlek < *hliak, id. (second. vowel shortening before original *-q); N. Thai *mwa, id., from *mba[hliak]; PKS *qhlet < *qhliak, id. (cf. Thai); Lakkia (Kadai) khyąk, id., from *m[]khyak < *mb[a]khliak; PMY *hlia?, id. < PAT *(m)baxliaq; cf. also the Formosan forms for banana: *bulibu' (Paz. Sed.), *b[u]li- (Kuv.), *bulbul (Pai. Puy. Ruk. Bun.), *ta/bulbul (Kan. Saa.), *bulbil (Sir.), *bilbil (Thao) and *bilpil (fav.), from *buli/buli (with varying degrees of vocalic assim.), perhaps from an earlier *mpuli/(m)puli (cf. the Fav. form), bringing these Formosan forms in line with IN *pun[t]i [<*puniti < *pulipli < *puli/puli], id., and PT *pli banana flower/bud < PAT *p[u]ii/p[u]ii. Finally, an initial *mb- with epenthetic vowel (cf. Sai. doublet for kill, above) is apparently represented by W. Ruk. movoroko monkey, from *mbu[ɣ]uk < *mbə[ɣ]uk (assim.); IN *bə[r]uk = *bəyuk, id. (Demp: Ml. bĕru? NgD. beruk; add Old Ja. wruk, Busang vəruk

and [metathesis] Kadazan gobuk, Timugon gabuk); Ong-Be (Kadai) ma-lu, id. (not analyzed); PLi *nuk, id., from *mruk < *mbruk; Laqua (Kadai) tok, id., from *prok < *br[u]k < PAT *(m)b[ə]yuk. The occasional appearance of *m rather than *mb as a (reconstructed) reflex in IN or Formosa (spirit, louse, body hair, bear, above) remains a problem, but both IN and PEO (PPN) appear to have *m as a reflex for PAN *mb and even *mp (apparently via *mb) in rare instances; cf. IN *baliw change (Veränderung): Tg. baliw deranged (= altered mind), maliw alteration (Verwandlung), a doublet from *mbaliw; IN *pu(n)dul = *(m)pu(n)dul cut off (Hova mundru), also *putul (app. for *putul) break off/cut off; PPN mutu cut off/ended, from *mbutu[1] < *mputu[1]; PT *toon castrate (Shan also break off the head of a plant), from *[]oton < PAT *[(m)p]otol; IN *pat'an pair; PPN *maasana twin, from *mba(a)sana < *mpasan/a; PT *soon two (perhaps via back-loan from Chinese) < PAT *[(m)pa]ts[a]n.

PAN *nt: Bunun and Saisiat maintain this element in one well-represented root: Bun. bintoqan, Sai. bintö?än, Pai. vituqan, Puy. vitu?ən star (cf. also Paz. bintun < *bintul; Bullock [1874] cites bintul; app. an infixed form); IN *bi[t]u?en. The nasal feature appears in IN as well as Formosa in the following: Kuv. bu:tis calf, Thao buntu θ < *buntis (assim.) lower leg; IN *buntit' shin (NgD. buntis), also (with unstressed vowel) *bə(n)tit', id. (Ja. wĕntis, Ml. bĕtis) and (assim. form; contrast Thao assim.) *bitit' calf (TB. bitis, Hova vitsi) < PAN *bu(n)ti(t)s; Thao also has banta0 leg, an apparent loan from Bun. banta's leg/foot, possibly cognate with the above root. Thao has additional forms with medial *-nt-; cf. Thao tantu:qas older brother < PAN *tuqas old/elder; Thao muntu:muq lie/recline, contrasting with Thao mu:taq vomit (Bun. motah, Pai. mut'aq, Puy. mutaq, Ami ma?otaq <</pre> *m/utaq); cf. also Bun. śibuntus pull; Bun. parantahon tie; Sai. komontotol < *k/muntutul push (see below). The anticipated *nt > *dshift appears to be rare; cf. Paz. dulut tail, from *ntulut < *(bu)nt/1/ut; IN buntut, id.; PT *sut end, from *t/r/ut < *[bu]t/1/ut; PKS *[]zot (h.t.) tail, from *[]nsot < *[bu]nt/!/ut; Ong-Be (Kadai) tu?, id., from *sut; PLi *sut, id.; also Sai. komontotol push (above), Paz. mudu:dun, id., apparently from *muntu:dun < *muntu:tul (assim.) but note Yami padundunun, id., possibly of similar origin (< *pa/ntuntun/n < *pa/ntuntul/n).

PAN *nd: exceedingly rare in Formosa: cf. Thao mundada:n walk (cf. Bun. mudada'an); Bun. ?indin this, probably of secondary origin. Three roots, all with the *d \sim *n type of alternation, require reconstruction with *(n)d: W. Ruk: Maga dega, Tona nega, Mantauran neka (also

Thomson [1873] denga) one, from *(n)de(n)ga; PT *(h)nin, id., from *()ndin < *()ndyan < PAT *()(n)da(n)g[a]; also Pai. budas ∿ vudas, Sai. bunaz ∿ bonaz, Thao bu:nal, Paz. bunat, Fav. bonnad, Bun. da'as < *bu(n)daj sand; PT *draay, id.; PLi *phəw, id., from *bəw[draj]; Lati nä, id., from *nd[r]ă[j]; < PAT *bəw(n)draj; Sir. /lamag/ burning (Dutch brandt), Fav. ramal burnt field, Ami namar fire < *(n)damay = *(n)damay resin/light/torch; PPN *marama light, *malama moon/month (o. shine), both from *ma/(n)dama[y]; PT *hmay burn < PAT *[(n)da]maR.

PAN *nt: represented by d in Kuvalan and by \dagger in Thao, which regularly has θ for East Formosan *t (= C [Dyen]), from an earlier cluster (CL): θ aw man, θ a: ϕ i excrement, θ u:may bear, ma: θ a eye, ma: θ ay die, ku: θ u head louse (> s before m: smaqis sew); contrast Thao \dagger ari: η a ear, from *ntalina; IN *talina; PEO *(n)talina: Fi. dalina, Sa. 'iline; PKS *qha < *qh[r]a[lina] < PAT *(N)qralin[a]; also Thao \dagger a:ri taro, Kuv. dari sweet potato (Taintor 1874), both from *ntali (Ami tali, Bun. taye, Buk. ta'i taro; also Atayalic: Sed. sari, id.); cf. IN *talat', id., probably from *talit' < *talits (with unstressed *i > *a) but the final is irregular in any event; PEO *ntalo(s), id.; PMY *ndoi yam (also edible tuber, potato and sweet potato), from *ndawi < *ndali (*1 > w is regular MY shift), with final corresponding to the Formosan form, from PAT *(m)p[r,1]ali \circ *mb[r,1]ali.

PAN *nts: represented by East Formosan *dz (and Ata. r < *dz), on the basis of the following PMY correspondence: Pai. d'amuq $^{\circ}$ d'amoq, Puy. damok, Sai. ramo, Paz. damu < *dzamu[?] blood; Ata. ramo? < *dzamu?, id.; PMY *ńcyaam, id., from *[]ntsaam (palatalized) < PAT *()ntsa[a]m[u?].

PAN *ns: appears in two Bunun forms, one with an excellent IN (and PT, PMY) correspondence; cf. Bun. ?insunun push (app. isolated form); Bun. binsah < *binsaq, Sai. binsi < *bi[n]sa[q] (assim. backwards) seed; IN *bini? = *binhi? (Tg. binhi?), id., from *binha? (assim. as in Saisiat), also *beni? (doublet with unstressed vowel); PT *van ~ *ban, id., from *b(w)an < *(ba/)ban[saq] < *bin[saq] (partial redupl. and assim. forwards; contrast Sai. and IN); PY *sa? sesame (the seeds par excellence), from *[bin]saq < *PAT *()binsaq.

PAN *nz: initial *(n)z- is to be reconstructed for East Formosan for the following root: Pai. zalum, Sai. ralom, Paz. dalum, Thao θ a: δ um, Bun. danom (n < *1), Kuv. ranum (n < *1), Sir. salom water, from *zalum, but Ami nanom < *nalom (assim.) < *nzalom, Puy. źanum < *ńźalum (assim.); IN *[dd]anum = *[z]anum, id.; PPN *lanu liquid/fresh water, from *ndanu[m]; PT *nam \circ *naam water, from *nza(l)am (regular intervocalic loss of *1) < *nza(l)om (vocalic assim.); Dioi ram, from *nram <

*nz(a)lam; Lakkia (Kadai) num (without assim.); PLi *nom (also without assim.) < PAT *()(n)zalom.

PAN $*_{\eta}k$: rare in occurence, the few available examples found either in isolates or in secondary developments; cf. Bun. tagkinuð back/behind; Sai. rinkələn thigh; Sai. minkorinan woman (cf. korkorin child); Puy. tankar(/kar) dry [field]; Puy. ?inku < *in-ku I (cf. ?inu < *in-su thou, ?inmu you). There is some evidence for the anticipated $*\eta k > g$ shift; cf. Sir. vugot bind, from *bugu[ts] < *bunku[ts]; IN *bəkət' = *bəykət' bundle (Tg. bigkis bundle; bound, to tie, Ml. berkas, TB. borhos bundle), from *bəykut' (assim. backwards), also *bunkut' bundle (assim. forwards); PEO *po(η)ko: Sa. ho?o to bind magically, i/ho?o ∿ hoko bundle; PT *koot embrace/enlace, from *okot < *[b]okots; Ong-Be (Kadai)kot (1.t.) tie up/enlace/knot, from *got < *onkot < *[b]onkots < PAT *[b]ə[γ][ə]-(n)kots; also Pai: Kulalo t'akit (Dahl cit.), Makazayazaya (Ferrell) t'agit knife/sword, from *ta(η)kits; cf. IN *ta(η)kit' ward off (NgD. tankis parry, takis push away); PT *kiit ~ *kiat (Siamese/Lao) hinder/ prevent, from *[]ki(y)at; also *geet (Shan) head off/thwart/hinder/ obstruct, from *jkeet []*jkiat < *[ta]jkiats < PAT *[ta](j)ki(y)ats.

* ηg : see above (wasp/bee under Atayal, also one); no certain examples of the anticipated * ηg > * η shift for East Formosan have been uncovered.

*Nq: extremely rare in East Formosan; cf. Bun. madaŋqas ∿ madaŋxas \sim madaghas red (app. isolated form). The regular shift appears to be to k, rarely to n (cf. Saa. live, above); cf. *(N)qu(m)bis body hair/ beard (above); also Ami: Tauran poki vulva, from *puNqi, as shown by the doublet: Bun. po?o < *puqu < *puqi (assim.), id. (Tsou boki penis < *mpuNqi, above); IN *puki vulva; PT *hi, id., from *hNi < *hNGi,</pre> from *hNqi (second. nasalization) < *[pu]Nqi (second. aspiration); KS *hńi: Mak ńei (h.t.), from *hNi (as in PT); PM *b[ou]? ∿ *bi? (White Miao), from *buq[i] ∿ *biq[i] (second. voicing and vocalic assim.; contrast the Bunun assim.); also Pai. tsaqi, Puy. ta?i, Ami ta?e, Sai. sä?i, Thao θa:qi, Fav. tshe < *taqi excrement (Tsouic *taqi), but Ruk. tsaki ∿ tsake, Bun. take, Paz. saik [< *saki], from *taNqi; also Sir. tain [< *tani], from *tangi < *taNqi (second. nasalization; cf. Saa. alive/live, also PT vulva, above); IN *ta?i = *(n)ta?i excrement, but Kalagan takki, Singhi toki (cited by Blust 1973), from *taN?i = *taNqi, with *Nq > k as in East Formosan; PEO *(n) ta?e, id.: Fi. de, Sa. ae; PT *khi excrement/defecate (irreg. for *xi < *qi); N. Thai *yai excrement, from *Gay < *Nqay < *[]aNqi; PKS *()qe < *()qay, id.; Lakkia (Kadai) kwei (1.t.), id., from *[G]ei < *[Nq]ei < *[]aNqi;Ong-Be (Kadai) kai (1.t.) id., from *[G]ay (as in N. Thai); PLi *hay,

id., from *qay; PMY *(N)qay, id., from *[]a(N)qi < PAT *(m)pla(N)qi; also Sir. makouliang = *ma/kulian yellow, from */Nqulian; IN *kunin, id., from *kulian < *Nqulian; PT *hlian, id., from *[qh]lyan; PMY *Glian, id., from *Glian (assim. to *G) < PAT *(N)q[u]lian * *G[u]lian; also Pai. Sai. kai, Puy. nai; Tsouic: Kan. ka:ri, Saa. kari; Atayalic: Ata. Sed. kai language (Puy. also maranai speak/say); all from *Nqayi; IN *kayi: Proto-Manobo (Elkins) *kagi word or saying (Bukidnon Manobo say/speak/talk); PT *xaan * *xan speak/answer/reply, from *qa(a)r[i], also (s.t.) *xan sing (birds), crow (cock); Dioi han respond/consent, also crow of the cock, cry of the pheasant, from *qhar[i]; Sek hal to crow, from *qhar[i]; PMY *(N)qaay to crow, from *(N)qa[y]i (with second. vowel lengthening; cf. the PT doublet) < PAT *(N)qayi.

If the above material is reviewed, it will be noted that the evidence for correspondences in nasal/orals from ouside Formosa comes from a great variety of sources: IN ('hibiscus', 'grandmother', 'cut/chop down', leg/calf'); IN and PEO ('fly'); IN, PEO and PT ('grandparent/male/ father', 'winnow/wipe/mortar', 'kill/thrust through/hole/tube'); IN and PT ('seed'); IN, N. Thai, Lakkia and PMY ('excrement'); PEO ('back/ behind', 'ear'); PPN ('work field/field/sweet potato'); PPN, PT, PKS, Lakkia and PLi ('water'); PT ('body louse/flea', 'light', 'ward off/ knife'); PT and PKS ('vulva'); N. Thai ('iron'); PKS ('tail'); Ong-Be ('bind/tie up/bundle'); PLi ('monkey'); Lati ('sand'); PMY ('arm/armpit/ shoulder/wing', 'blood', 'speak/language/crow'). Within the East Formosan group, as shown by the table below (which excludes 'bear', see above), the oral/nasals are best maintained in Bunun, Saisiat and Thao. There are alternative reflexes in several instances, probably to be explained at least in part by various conditioning factors, e.g. PAN initial *Nq- > Sir. k- (yellow) but medial *-Nq- > Sir. -n- (excrement), with secondary nasalization.

TABLE 5
FORMOSAN REFLEXES OF PAN NASAL/ORALS

PAN	*mp	*mb	*nt	*nd	*nt	*nts	*ns	*nz	*ŋk	*Nq
E. Form.	?	*mb	*nt	*nd	*nt	*dz	*ns	*nz	?	* N q
Bun.	-	{	nt	nd	-	-	ns	-	ŋk	ρq
Sai.	mр	- { m (ν) b	nt	n	-	r	ŋs	-	ŋk	k
Thao	b/f	{	n t	nd n	1	-	-	-	-	-
Puy.	b/v	-	-	-	-	d	-	-	ŋk	ŋ
W. Ruk.	-	m V b	-	d/n	-	-	-	-	-	k
E. Ruk.	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	k

Table 5 (cont.)

Paz.	Ь	m	$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n & t \\ d \end{smallmatrix} \right.$	n	-	d	-	-	-	k
Pai.	b/v	-			-					
Ami	f	m			-					
Kuv.	_	m	-	-	d	-	-	-	-	-
Sir.	b/v	m	-	-	-	-	-	-	g	٠ { <mark>k</mark>
Fav.	Ь	_	_	n	-	-	-	-	-	-

NOTES

- 1. Sources as in Benedict 1975, principally Ogawa and Asai (1935) (in Japanese), with normalized phonetic orthography, and Ferrell (1969), which includes the citations from early sources; IN forms cited after Dempwolff (1930), with modifications by Dyen (notably ? for medial and final h) and the occasional indications of nasal/orals, especially *(m)b for *b (almost always on the basis of b rather than v as a reflex in Javanese or Hova); PEO reconstructions along the lines suggested by Biggs (1965); PT and PMY reconstructions as in Benedict 1975. Abbreviations: AN Austronesian; AT Austro-Thai; Ata. Atayal; Bun. Bunun; Demp. Dempwolff; E. Eastern [Rukai]; Fav. Favorlang; Fi. Fiji; Fu. Futuna; h.t. high tone; IN Indonesian; Ja. Javanese; Kan. Kanakanabu; Kuv. Kuvalan; 1.t. low tone; Ml. Malay; N. Northern [Thai]; NgD. Ngaju-Dayak; Og.-As. Ogawa and Asai (1935); Pai. Paiwan; PAN Proto-Austronesian; PAT Proto-Austro-Thai; Paz. Pazeh; PE Proto-Eastern [Miao]; PEO Proto-Eastern Oceanic; PKS Proto-Kam-Sui; PLi Proto-Li; PM Proto-Miao; PMY Proto-Miao-Yao; PN Polynesian; PPN Proto-Polynesian; PT Proto-Thai; Puy. Puyama; PWM Proto-Western Miao; PY Proto-Yao; Ruk. Rukai; Saa. Saaroa; Sai. Saisiat; Sed. Sedik; SEP Southeast Papua; Sir. Siraya; s.t. same tone; SW Southwest [Thai]; Tg. Tagalog; To. Tongan; W. Western [Rukai].
- 2. A similar but distinct root is represented by Bun. tumbi ∿ tumbe flea/bedbug, from *tumbi[q] (irreg. loss of final); cf. Puy. tatumuq, Pai. tsatsumuq bedbug, from *tatumbiq (with vocalic assim.); also Ata: Squliq sumiq, Ci'uli lumi?, Sed. tsumiq body louse, from *CLumbiq (CL=consonant cluster) < *(CLa(CLumbiq; no MY or Kadai cognates of this root have yet been uncovered.

PAUL K. BENEDICT

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BENEDICT, Paul K.

1975 Austro-Thai: Language and Culture, with a Glossary of Roots. New Haven. HRAF Press.

BIGGS, Bruce

'Direct and Indirect Inheritance in Rotuman'. Lingua 14: 383-415.

BLUST, Robert A.

'Additions to 'Proto-Austronesian addenda' and 'Proto-Oceanic addenda with cognates in non-Oceanic Austronesian languages' - II. Working Papers in Linguistics 5/3:33-61.

BULLOCK, T.L.

1874 'Formosan Dialects and their Connection with the Malay'.

China Review 3:38-46 (cit. from Ferrell, 1969).

DAHL, Otto C.

1973 Proto-Austronesian, Scandinavian Institute of Asia Studies Monograph Series, No.15.

DEMPWOLFF, Otto

1930 Vergleichende Lautlehre des austronesischen Wortschatzes, Bd.3: Austronesisches Wörterverzeichnis, Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für Eingeborenensprachen Bd.19.

ELKINS, Richard E.

1974 A Proto-Manobo Word List. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Comparative Austronesian Linguistics, Honolulu.

FERRELL, R.

1969 Taiwan Aboriginal Groups: Problems in Cultural and Linguistic Classification. Institute of Ethnology. Academia Sinica. Monograph 17 (includes Comparative Vocabulary).

HAUDRICOURT, André G.

'Comment' on Capell: 'Oceanic Linguistics Today'.

Current Anthropology 3/4:410.

'Problems of Austronesian Comparative Philology'. Lingua 14:315-29.

OGAWA, A. and Erin ASAI

1935 Myths and Traditions of the Native Tribes of Formosa.

Taihoku, Taipei. Taihoku Imperial University. In Japanese.

TAINTOR, E.C.

'The Aborigines of Northern Formosa'. Journal of the North China Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society 10:53-88 (cit. from Ferrell 1969).

THOMSON, J.

1873 'Notes of a Journey in Southern Formosa'. Journal of the Royal Geographical Society 43:97-107 (cit. from Ferrell 1969).

TSUCHIDA, Shigeru

1968 Kavalan Word List and Saisiyat Vocabulary (cit. from Ferrell 1969).

