<u>mất cá</u>: FROM "FISHES' EYES" TO "ANKLEBONES": A VIETNAMESE CALQUE?

Jeremy H.C.S. Davidson

'<u>mat cá là cái gì¹ (nôm= 相 鉤 平所 款</u>): "what are fishes' eyes?",' asks Vietnamese (VN). The earliest record so far discovered in answer is Alexandre de Rhodes' Dictionarium entry (1651:456): 'mat cá: artelho do pè: talus, i.', in which the Latin talus means 'ankle, anklebone'. Legrand de la Liraye (1874:150b) translates it as 'cheville du pied', Taberd (1877: 271a) as 'Occulus piscis; malleoli', while Ravier (1880:706a) notes 'malleolus #4.mat cá (nơi chân tay)' (=place of the feet/ legs i and hands/arms i;). Của (1897:86b) describes it as 'fleshed bone swelling out on both sides of the foot at the point where it joins the leg'; Tw Due (1898:q.3, 3a4, p.51 = p.216, 1.19) uses it cryptically to translate the Chinese character in huà 'ankle' (>'anklebone'), <u>huái</u> in Modern Standard Chinese (=<u>putong huà/MSC</u>). Again, Bonet (1899:407a) records it as 'cheville du pied; litt. oeil de poisson', Huê (1937:555a, 2) repeating it as 'cheville du pied, cor, chevillon', while Tru (1960:299b) defines it as 'heads of bones jutting out at the neck of legs (=ankle) (qv. Tru 1970:II, 894a) and the Hội Khai Tri (1968:337b) tells us that it is 'the head of a bone budding out near the neck of the leg' (=i.e. the ankle), hence 'the anklebone'.²

This seems to be highly figurative language,⁵ a descriptive pun (qqv. Emeneau 1947; Hoà 1955; Davidson 1978:37ff., 1986a:354, n.37), for to find a fish's eye, probably rather dead in appearance, looking up at you from the *anklebone* of a person's leg, bulging out⁴ dull, then whitish as it stretches from where it peaks to the darker skin surrounding it on legs that have travailled in fields of paddy, sea-salt, or whatever else, in a Vietnamese-style climate, will certainly provide yet another superb and humorous expression of the impression intended, since the Vietnamese have long associated their vital environment with all the other natural symbolism that they envisage (e.g. Davidson 1978).

Such visual association (qv. n.3),⁵ is frequently complemented by word-play afforded by the opportunities of homophony. An encounter is the Modern Mon (MM) /mot coin/ 'anklebone' (lit. 'eye of the elephant'; Shorto 1962:170b mot coin; ref. p.96b coin¹ 'elephant'), while in inscriptional language one finds cin²/cin/ n.'elephant' (Shorto 1971:98) and jun/jun/ 'lower limb' (*ibid.*,125), where a mat²/mot/ n.'eye' (*ibid.*,285) plus jun would have provided us with the expected

11

Mon-Khmer (MK) and Malayo-Polynesian (MP) 'eye of the leg' for an 'anklebone'!⁶ This 'confusion' may well have stemmed from, or led to, folk-etymology and folk taxonomy -- an investigation lying in wait -- but it further emphasizes the point already made of the desire for the use of figurative language and especially for descriptive punning.

Parts of the body are, however, often not identified with any precision in basic and vernacular Vietnamese language, that is, language which excludes or may not include the use of the specific, pointed Han-Viet (HV) vocabulary found in the literary language of the educated élite, and which is also 'premodern', that is, prior to the introduction of western, scientific and other specialist vocabulary. $^7\,$ A general area of the body may be referred to, but not a specific part of it, by a single, special word. So, <u>chan</u> (var. <u>chon</u>, <u>chun</u>) stands broadly for both 'leg' and 'foot', <u>tay</u> for 'arm' and 'hand', while together <u>chan</u> <u>tay</u> means 'limbs'.⁸ When an anatomical feature does captivate attention and is accorded a specific term, structural or functional perception of it is a common reason for the formation of the word or words describing it. So, many South-East Asian languages have captured in their vocabularies' imagination the concept of the *ankle* or *wrist* as the 'neck' of the leg or arm and the *anklebone* as the "eye" of the leg/foot' even though in actuality it is not a part of the ankle itself but a swelling out on both sides of the lower end of the two leg bones (tibia and fibula) at the point at which they articulate with the tarsal bones (qv. Cúa 1987:86b).

Naturally, words for 'hands' and 'arms', 'feet' and 'legs' may well have changed in the language families that inhabit South-East Asia, China, South Asia, Oceania and other related areas as their languages developed from the early through to the modern forms, but the phonetic similarity is even now often noticeable. The same is also evident for the words for the 'eye'⁹ (e.g. Shorto 1971:285, i.a.) since one finds links between the Sino-Tibetan, Sino-Tai, Austroasiatic and Austronesian forms in both their phonetics and the semantic functions¹⁰ performed. So, as in Vietnamese we have an 'arm' tay, its 'neck' co' becomes immediately recognizable as the 'wrist' co' tay, as does the leg's 'neck' become an 'ankle' <u>co' chan</u>¹¹ (e.g. Gouin 1957:181b). Other examples are numerous (qv. n.8 below) but, especially when we find the 'board, table' (ban cf. <u>ván</u> 'plank',)¹² helping to structure a 'hand' ban tay or 'foot' <u>ban chán</u>, ¹³ why do we not 'eye' the 'leg' (*<u>mát chán</u>) to make an 'anklebone'? After all, we have already met the partially successful Modern Mon attempt of <u>mot coin</u> and the Malay <u>mata kaki</u>. Bahnar provides us with <u>mat jong</u> 'cheville du pied' (Dourisbourne *1899:203; cf. Katu <u>maniet maniit</u>, identified as <u>mat ca</u> in the Vietnamese equivalents but translated as 'ankle' in the English (Costello 1971:27, 30) and probably from <u>ma</u> 'eye', cf. <u>katam</u> (<i>loc.cit*.)), while Sedang gives us ma cheáng 'ankle' (lit. 'eye of the leg/foot') and <u>ma kong</u> 'wrist' (Smith 1962:15-16) and we can note *en passant* the Chrau jang 'leg, foot' (Thomas 1966:24),¹⁴ unfortunately with no further relevant data. Going south in present-day Vietnamese territory, we discover more support from the Cham <u>mdtā takai</u> (cf. <u>takan takay</u>, Moussay 1971:379b 'cheville du pied'; cf. *ibid*.,224 <u>muta le</u> 'cheville') with the other common uses of the 'eye/face' word as 'node, joint', etc. The same occurs in Nicobarese (Roepsforff 1884:78, 122, 149, <u>mathou</u>, --okloaka-la; --olmat, etc.; cf. Man 1898-9:6, 178a).

This Vietnamese 'neck' \underline{co}^{Λ} of the 'arm' or 'leg', joining them to 'hands' or 'feet', finds many other Mon-Khmer language equivalents, two directly apparent examples being Modern Khmer ko: day 'wrist', ko: cvin 'ankle' (Jacob 1971:1) and Modern Mon ko?can 'ankle', ko? toa 'wrist' (Shorto 1962:53a).¹⁵ Of immediate interest, therefore, and suggestively cognate is the Lao kh3: thao 'ankle' (lit. joint of the foot) (Kerr 1972:129b; cf. *ibid.*,118b <u>kha</u>:) which is supported by the Modern Standard Thai (MST) <u>kho3 thaaw</u> 'ankle; lit. joint of the *foot*' (not 'leg', <u>khaa</u>, (n.b. Li 1977:213, §10.6.29 among other entries, e.g. §2.23, 14.11.4, etc.) -- with a secondary vernacular taa tum (thaaw) 'eye of the node (of the foot)' >'anklebone'. Thus, the possibility of 'link' cognates -- joint-neck-tibia-shin -- keeps springing to mind. Indeed, there is an impression of 'joining, uniting, linking' and of 'reaching, attaining, going to' (see GSR 675a-d $rac{1}{6}$; e. $rac{1}{6}$ * $rac{1}{8}$ / $rac{1}{2}$ / $rac{1}{2}$ / $rac{1}{2}$) encouraged by the nom character for 'neck' which one also finds as Hán-Việt, and in the MSC compound kulou ~ jskeleton', (= khô lâu; cf. MSC gugé 'ibid.' + HV cot cach). Additionally, there is the Vietnamese word for 'joint; physical articulation' khop p_{Ξ} (>'bone-joint' = $\sim x u c ng$), which strongly suggests a relation between it and the Vietnamese hop 🍐 'unite, etc.', joining things together.

Reverting in this context to a possibly pre-sinitic word (or a very early Chinese loan?) preserved in present-day Chinese territory, one finds of MSC jiao (GSR 1166p *k'og/ k'au/k'iao f 'tibia'; =?HV giao); its variant character used for 'joint' and presented in Ningpo dialect as gao (Morrison 1876:20b), ngau in Swatow (Gibson 1886:107c; cf. VN ngau hop 'join again' =?Tie-chiu/Hokkien) and its parallel MSC jing e.g. jinggu ' ' 'shinbone' (>'tibia'; (cf. GSR 831k. *g'ieng/yieng-/hing leg, shank (Lunyü)'), as well as the two pronunciations for 'neck' MSC geng/jing (GSR 831n. *kieng/ kiäng:/king, and *g'ieng/g'iäng/k'ing...(Tso)), all of which are related, at least phonetically. Hence the pronunciations for the word for 'neck' in Vietnamese co, the Thai khoo, Lao kho:, a large number of Mon-Khmer languages (qv. Shorto 1971:52), and Archaic Chinese *k'og (GSR 1166p), thrust cognacy before us.¹⁶ The image of an articulated (=joint), tube-like connection of varying lengths between the torso and its ending appendages does, of course, bring the possible linked, root-origins of such words together, while the closeness in pronunciation of the 'leg' word

> Property of the Sam Society's Librar, BANGKOR 13417

in a range of South Eastern Chinese dialects (qv. Bauer 1987), e.g. <u>khá, keùk</u> (=HV <u>cước</u>, also read <u>khước</u>, giving rise to <u>gốc</u> 'base, foot (of a tree)' [<u>nôm</u> **/a**] (Trụ 1960:46a)) reconstructed as Archaic Chinese *<u>kiak</u> (GSR 776g-h), forcefully implies a presinitic origin of the word that ends up as the Chinese character **H**ap (MSC jué, jiǎo).

But here the Vietnamese \underline{mat} ca 'anklebone' remains distinctly in the forefront of one's mind. If the base word chan 'leg, foot' which qualified other associated ideas giving us words like 'ankle', 'foot', 'toe', 'toenail', 'heel', etc., (qv. n.8 below) fulfils its purpose, then why does an 'anklebone' suddenly turn from the '"eye" of the leg' into a 'fish's eye'? Naturally, in a Vietnamese language situation such as this, one looks to the Chinese reservoir of vocabulary for help in solving the problem. In Archaic and in Ancient Chinese one does not find the 'anklebone' separately listed, one finds the 'ankle' $\frac{1}{12}$ (GSR 351j. *<u>g'lwar/ywa</u>:/hua ankle (Li) = MSC <u>hua</u>, <u>huai</u>), the Han-Việt reading for which is <u>hoa</u> (Thiêu Chữu 1966: 660a)17 but which Việt reading for which is <u>hoa</u> (Thiếu Chứu 1966: 660a)17 but which is intriguingly identified as an 'anklebone' (*ibid.*), and clearly recorded as such in the *Tw Đức* (1898:q.3, 3a4=p.51 **)** =p.226, 1.19 as : 'khôa mắt cá') while the MSC gives is <u>huải</u> for 'ankle' and <u>huaizigu</u> **f** for 'anklebone' (cf. i.a. Maclay 1929: 1090 <u>kuá-gauk</u> other HV 'pedal' terms flourish too. Meanwhile, the MSC ankle' occurs as jiaoyangu **f** f f f (var. jiaohuai, jueyan) while the Ningpo <u>kyiah tsang</u> **f** f 'ankle' (cf. <u>kyiah gao</u> **f** f f 'ankle-joint', Morrison 1876:20b) relates directly to a Hakka <u>kiok tsang</u> 'neel', and the Hakka 'ankle' <u>kiok muk</u> **f** (cf. <u>kiok ngan</u> **f** f f f f f , MacIver 1926: 288b) connects well with the Shanghai <u>kyak mok</u>. Yet, focusing on the 'eye' as the centre of attention in this 'joint', this 'link', strikingly important is the awareness of the '"eye" of 'link', strikingly important is the awareness of the '"eye" of the "leg", foot', in Southern, and in particular in South Eastern Chinese dialects. There is Cantonese <u>keuk ngaăn</u> (<u>kwut</u>) **HP BK** 'ankle(bone)' (qv. MSC, above; cf. MacIver 1926:344b, Hakka <u>khwa</u> <u>kwut</u> 'the anklebone'), Lungtu <u>khaá muk</u>, Fúzhou <u>ká ngu měk</u> **HC []** (='the leg [joint]'s ox's eye') (Maclay 1929:1021), ¹⁸ the Swatow kha-mak 'anklebone' (and variants, Lechler 1883:9) and the Amoy 'ankle' k'a bak (liter. kick bok, kha-bak, kic? bak; cf. C. Douglas 1899: 10a, 257b, kha-bak, 'ankle', R. kiok, col. kioh). The thought came to my mind of a word of pre-sinitic origin preserved in what are termed South Eastern Chinese dialects and then spurred into a calque by the creative imagination of the Vietnamese because it rhymed descriptively with the phonetic and thence the visual awareness of a 'fish's "eye"' (mat ca <*kha mak). And, almost instinctively one senses that the Hokkien [Hkn.=Fúzhōu] dialects offer the greatest suggestions. Among them, I think that Tie-chiu [=Cháozhōu] enlivens us. In it we find mák [a] 'eye (Goddard 1883:103a)¹⁹ and <u>k'á</u> 'foot' (*ibid.*, 63a), the tone, pitch and contour of whose pronunciation conform well with the Vietnamese mat ca, strengthening the argument since it was the speakers of Tie-chiu who made up the largest Chinese

population contributing to the 'early' Vietnamese vocabulary,²⁰ just as it appears to be Swatow speakers who influenced Thai (Egerod 1959).

For here, it seems, we do have a Chinese-Vietnamese calque created by the Vietnamese who, hearing this South Eastern 'Chinese' word *<u>k'á</u> (<TC= Hkn.) for 'foot', visualized its sound association with their word <u>cá</u> 'fish' and, preferring the fun²¹ behind a 'fish's "eye"', <u>mat ca</u>,²² to the neutrality of such words as might otherwise have been their special terms (e.g. *<u>go chán</u> 'hillock of the leg/foot', *<u>mat chân</u> 'eye of the leg/ foot'), transposed the Tie-chiu <u>k'á mák</u> ('foot's eye' >'anklebone')²³ into their own language, reordering the word as <u>mák k'á</u> to fit their syntax, to create a calque, so that the 'anklebones' became 'fishes' eyes', <u>mát cá</u>



NOTES

- Immediately, we are alerted to an unusual etymology. Normally, <u>mat</u> 'eyes' are classified as animate by <u>con</u> so that <u>con mat cá</u> would mean 'the eye of fishes' even though the syntactic rhythm feels heavily clumsy. But here, it is classified as inanimate by <u>cai</u>, suggesting a variant meaning. What is the reason? (qqv. Thieu Chuu 1966:660a [Hán-Viet (HV= Sino-Vietnamese] <u>hóa</u>, (or <u>khóa</u>).
 <u>cai mát cá chán</u> 'the "anklebones" of the legs'; cf. Anh 1957:510b <u>Lóa</u>, '"fishes' eyes" on the two sides of the ankle', i.e. anklebones).
- Listings of dictionary entries could continue, but they are not being included as they are meaningfully repetitive, if not identical; e.g. Hung 1955:414a; Thanh Nghi 1967:885a: 'balls of bone jutting out on both sides of the ankle'; Hoa 1967:270a, etc.
- 3. So, too, is the Palaung <u>ra-ngyč jung</u> 'ankle' (lit. 'joint of the leg') (Milne 1931:18), with 'anklebone' (*loc.cit.*) a <u>ka-ang i-ar</u>, that is, 'bone of the fowl, hen' (?cockspur. Ebone]; cf. VN <u>cua</u> is 'cockspur'; <u>xuòng</u> 'astragalus').
- 4. Note, interestingly, that in Chinese we have the word 'eye', MSC yan, reconstructed as GSR 4161. *ngen/ngan:/yen eye (Yi) which is also a 'loan for *ngen/ngen:/en protrude as a knob (Chouli)' (loc.cit.). The HV is <u>nhan/nhan</u> (qqv. de Rhodes 1651:548, cf. 456; Tw Dic 1898: q.3, 5a3-4, p.52 =p.218, 1.5 '<u>nhan mat</u>; Davidson 1975:597, no.487). In passing, 'faces' and 'eyes' do, understandably, go together (e.g. Shorto 1971:285; Tw Dic 1898: q.3, 1a5, p.50 in Fill =p.215, 1.9 '<u>dien mat</u>').

- 5. For instance, there is trung can be 'eggs of fishes' (qv. Bonet 1899:341a trang can 'oeuf de poisson'), whence comes 'spawn' and then, because of the visual similarity, 'blackhead, comedo' (Hoa 1967:516a; Huê 1937:1088b; cf. Gouin 1957: 141b). This is possibly a play on words, on ching 'symptom of an illness' (nom/HV, from the symples'. Another example is hon dai if (lit. 'balls/stones of the genitals') 'testicles' (Hoa 1967:84a; Huê 1937:191b) paralleled by trung dai (lit. 'eggs of the genitals') 'sperm' (Bonet 1899:341a; cf. Gouin 1957:1481b, i.a.). Note dai 'to urinate' (also sometimes written in nom). Similarly, one finds go ma for the gener' (Bonet' 1899:230b, 'joue, pommette'; Huê 1937:314a; Gouin 1957:482b), but why mat ca for 'anklebone'?
- 6. The play on words could also have given us <u>mot coin</u> 'eye of the elephant' and <u>mot coin</u>² 'eye of the ridgepole of the house' (Shorto 1962:96b). Compare the Archaic Chinese use of (MSC jiao 'tibia') for 'the tapering end of the spoke of a wheel (Chouli)', (GSR 1166p.).
- 7. Nowadays, the scientific and medical vocabulary of Vietnamese is as detailed in its anatomical and other descriptions and recognitions (e.g. Thanh Nghi 1967:1532a; Hoa 1967:565a-b) as its main new source language, French; in fact, it is probably made richer by ready recourse to Chinese wherever that proved necessary. Thus Vietnamese has a very rich vocabulary and usually treats technical-type terms in three ways:

(i) identifying them by direct translation into VN - e.g.
'astragalus' = <u>xuddng cula</u> 'cockspur bone';

(ii) by use of Chinese terms in the HV pronunciation of their characters, although a degree of Vietnamese word-ordering may be introduced -- e.g. 'haemophilia' = <u>benh huyết hữu</u> <MSC <u>xuéyǒu bìng</u> 血友病; or,

(iii) by transliteration from the French -- e.g.'xanthin'= xang-tin.

8. qqv. Shorto 1971:125; Davidson 1975:597, esp. nos. 474, 489-92. So, paralleling one another, are such compounds as:

ban	('table/board')	chan	'foot'	••	ban tay	'hand'
ngon	('toe/finger')	chân	'toe'	~	ngón tay	'finger'
	('nail/claw')	chân	'toenail'	~	mong tay	'fingernail'
<u>c6</u> ?	('neck')	chân	'ankle'	••	co tay	'wrist'
(11)		, ,	1 2000 1	~ -		2

(Hòa 1967:58a, 407b; also Bonet 1899:407a; i.a.; cf. <u>căng</u> 'paw, leg (of animal)'). Nonetheless, there are independent words for special parts of the body, e.g. gối 'knee', versus <u>khuyu/cui tay</u> 'elbow'; <u>bap chan</u> 'calf (of the leg)'; <u>dui</u> 'thigh'; <u>got chan</u> 'heel' (cf. <u>gan got</u> 'tendon, Achilles heel...'); <u>nam tay</u> 'fist'. And, although there are specific 'finger' words, e.g. <u>ngon tay cai</u> 'thumb', <u>ngon tay ut</u> 'little finger', one can easily manufacture parallels like 'big toe', 'little toe', etc., by substituting <u>chan</u> for <u>tay</u>. And so on. The versatility is immense, as is readily shown by the *Tu' Đức*.

- See, e.g. Bauer 1987 on the 'leg' in South Eastern Chinese dialects and Tibeto-Burmese; and, in general, Davidson 1975: I, 296ff. & nn.; 1986b:63, & references).
- 10. The use of the 'eye' as a node of the bamboo (e.g. Bonet *loc.cit.*, <u>mat tre</u> 'noeud de bambou'; Huê 1937:555a2, *ibid.*; Gouin 1957:801b. ff.) and sometimes as the joint of a part of the body and so on, is also well observed throughout South-East Asian languages (see i.a., Shorto 1962:170a ff.; 1971:284ff.; Moussay 1971:224).
- 11. qv. de Rhodes (1651:126) 'co tay: collo da mao: collum manui', [qv. also p.715]; 'co chên: collo do pè: collum pedis'. (Huê 1937:101a; cf. Gouin 1957:181b).
- 12. In Malay, one apparently circles the leg to form an ankle (Wilkinson 1932:I, 338a, <u>gelang</u>, cf. 290a; 496a <u>kaki</u>) but the 'anklebone' is still the <u>mata kaki</u> 'eye of the leg' (*loc. cit.*, & II, 114a, <u>mata</u>). The thought that the Vietnamese <u>mat ca</u> might embalm an MP variant of this is farfetched (even given the *proto-language forms being proposed at present) because of the distinctly Mon-Khmer word for 'leg' in Vietnamese.
- 13. In Bahnar, I understand that $/{}^{*k}pa:\eta/$ is a possible reconstruction. Might this imply a MK <u>k</u>- 'body' prefix which is now lost in modern Vietnamese?
- 14. Pacch deals where relevant with bones but does not seem to have a special term for the 'anklebone'. (Watson 1979:382; cf. parreat (ati).).
- 15. Note that <u>h</u>- /h-/ and <u>kh</u>- /X/ variants are common in Vietnamese, e.g. n.l above.
- 16. Relevant, too, are the semantic similarities and, to a certain extent, the various reconstructed readings of MSC jiā, jiá (#GSR 630a *<u>kǎp/kǎp</u>/kia be on both sides of (Shi); support (Shu);... loan for 6301. all around (Shu) [= jiā, xiá] and especially <u>?/ tsiep</u>/tsie [=MSC <u>xié</u>] encompass, embrace (Shi); all around (Chouli).); n.b. GSR 630k, too.

- 17. But <u>loa</u> in Anh (1957:510b; Tru 1970:278a4) which implies an original *<u>kl</u>- initial consonant cluster and a shift from it to <u>h</u>- and <u>kh</u>- variants. cf. n.15 above.
- 18. n.b. <u>giě měk ciů</u> **H H K** (lit. 'foot-eye-ball', Adam 1891/1905: 11; cf. Maclay 1929:1222) which brings to mind the Burmese <u>khie-myak-ci</u>, transcribed as <u>chi-mye?-si</u> 'footeye-ball' ='eyeball' (note Adam 1905:106 měk-ciù-ci).
- 19. From the Chinese in which there is MSC mu 'eye', reconstructed *miok/miuk/mu (GSR 1036a-c), comes the Han-Việt, muc (de Rhodes 1651:488; muc, vide mouc; 483 mouc, con mat: olhos: oculi; Tu Đức 1898: q.3, la4, p.50 for an ep.215, 1.7 muc mat). En passant, we may note that final -t and -c /k/ are often interchanged, especially in southern Vietnamese dialects.
- 20. A large Tie-chiu refugee migration -- one which preceded the late nineteenth century influx of Cantonese who then also provided a vast source of vernacular, material culture vocabulary -- is known to have taken place during the Ming dynasty, and Tie-chiu loans to, and pronunciations of Chinese characters in, Vietnamese -- as distinct from the Hán-Việt forms one normally encounters -- are numerous. Many of such words are readily found in Cua (1897: e.g. 374a thao; 380a thau; 402a thô; 433b tía 'daddy' [cf. Egerod 1959: no. 159]; 451a tóa [cf. Bonet 1899:323b]) and in Tru (1970: e.g. II, 17a máng, etc.) but not all dictionaries are as detailedly precise. En passant, no Tie-chiu words have, it seems been recorded in de Rhodes' Dictionarium, a compilation principally of the northern dialect.
- As seen in the more risqué or vulgar puns like <u>du-me</u> for (Paul) Doumer and <u>dit-cua</u> 'crab's arse' for the much despised 'discours'.
- 23. Perhaps this also refers obliquely to notice of a lot of Chinese migrant labour?

REFERENCES

Adam, T.B.	1905.(from 1891). An English-Chinese dictionary of the Foochow dialect. Shanghai & Foochow: Lacy & Wilson, Methodist Publ. Ho.
Anh, Đào duy,	1957. Hán-Việt từ-điển giản-yếu 汉趙 词共简要 Saigon: Trường-thị.
Bauer, R.S.	1987. 'Leg' in Southeastern Chinese dialects and Tibeto-Burman root * <u>pey</u> 'leg'. <i>Ling. Tibeto-Burman Area</i> 10 (1), 169-74.
Bonet, J.	1899. Dictionnaire annamite-français (langue officielle et langue vulgaire). Paris: Imp. nat., E. Leroux., 2 pts.
Carstairs Douglas	1899. Chinese-English dictionary of the vernacular or spoken language of Amoy. London: Publ. Office Presbyt. Church of England (new ed.).
Costello, Nancy A.	1971. <i>Ngữ-vựng Katu: Katu vocabulary</i> (Tú-sách ngôn-ngữ dân-tộc thiểu-số Việt-Nam, vol.5). sl.: Trung-tâm học-liệu, Bộ Giáo-dục.
Của, P. Huỳnh tịnh,	1897. <i>Đại-Nam quốc-âm tự-vị</i> . Saigon: Imp. Rey, Curiol, & Cie.
Davidson, J.H.C.S.	1975. A new version of the Chinese- Vietnamese vocabulary of the Ming dynasty. I: Bull. Sch. Orient. Afr. Stud. 38(2), 296-315; II: op.cit. 38(3), 586-608.
	1978. Images of ecstasy: a Vietnamese response to Nature. In: <i>Natural symbols</i> <i>in South East Asia</i> (ed.) G.B. Milner. London: Sch. Orient. Afr. Stud., 29-54.
	1986a. Collaborateur versus Abstentioniste (Tuồng versus Tri): a political polemic in poetic dialogue during the French acquisition of southern Việt-Nam. Bull. Sch. Orient. Afr. Stud., 49(2), 321-63.
	DOIL OF VEILD. AJT. DUML., 43(C), SCT-03.

1986b. Another source for information on Davidson, J.H.C.S. Ayutthaya Thai. In: Lai Sur Thai: essays in honour of E.H.S. Simmonds (ed.) J.H.C.S. Davidson. London: Sch. Orient. Afr. Stud., 63-72. 1889. Dictionnaire bahnar-français. Dourisbourne, P.X. Hong Kong: Imp. Soc. Miss. Etr. 1959. Swatow loan words in Siamese. Egerod, S. Acta Orient. 23, 137-56. 1947. Homonyms and puns in Annamese. Emeneau, M.B. Language 23, 239-44. 1886. A Swatow index to the syllabic Gibson, J.C. dictionary of Chinese by S. Wells Williams, Litt.D. & to the Dictionary of the vernacular of Amoy by Carstairs Douglas. Swatow: Eng. Presbyt. Miss. Press. 1883. A Chinese and English vocabulary Goddard, J. in the Tiechiu dialect. Shanghai: Amer. Presbyt. Miss. Press (SOAS M5224). 1957. Dictionnaire vietnamien-chinois-Gouin, E. français. Saigon: Imp. Ext.-Orient. 1957. B. Karlgren, Grammata serica recensa GSR (= GSR). Bull. Mus. Far East. Antiq. 29. Hoa. Nguyễn đình, 1955. Double puns in Vietnamese: a case of 'linguistics play'. Word 11, 237-44. 1967. Vietnamese-English dictionary. Rutland, Vermont: Tuttle. 1968. Việt-Nam tự điển (drafted by Ban Hồi Khai Trí văn học Hội Khai Trí tiến đức khởi thảo). Saigon: Mac-Lam. 1937. Dictionnaire annamite-chinois-Hue, G. français: Tu-điển Việt-Hoa-Pháp. sl.: Imp. Trung Hoa. Hung, Lê văn, 1955. Vietnamese-English dictionary. Paris: Eds. Europe-Asie. 1974. A concise Cambodian-English Jacob, Judith dictionary. London: Oxford Univ. Press.

Kerr, A.D.	1972. Lao-English dictionary. Washington: Consortium Press, 2 vols.
Lechler, R.	1883. English-Chinese vocabulary of the vernacular or spoken language of Swatow. Swatow: Eng. Presbyt. Miss. Press.
Legrand de la Liraye, Le R.P.	1874. Dictionnaire élémentaire annamite- français. Paris: Challamel.
Li Fang-Kuei	1977. A handbook of comparative Tai. Honolulu: Univ. Press Hawaii.
MacIver, D.	1926. (M.C. Mackenzie, rev.) A Chinese- English dictionary: Hakka dialect as spoken in Kwang-tung province. Shanghai: Presbyt. Miss. Press.
Maclay, R.S. & C.C. Baldwin	1929. (S.H. Leger, rev. & enlarged) <i>Dictionary of the Foochow dialect.</i> Shanghai: Presbyt. Miss. Press.
Man, E.H.	1888-89. A dictionary of the central Nicobarese language. New Delhi: Sanskaran Prakashak, rep. 1975.
Milne, Leslie	1931. A dictionary of English-Palaung and Palaung-English. Rangoon: Supdt., Govt. Printing & Stationery.
Morrison, W.T.	1876. An Anglo-Chinese vocabulary of the Ningpo dialect. Shanghai: Amer. Presbyt. Miss. Press.
Moussay, G.	1971. Dictionnaire căm-vietnamien- français: Tu-điền Chàm-Việt-Pháp. Phan Rang: Centre culturel cam: Trung-tâm Văn-hóa Chàm.
Ravier, M.H.	1880. <i>Dictionarium latino-annamiticum.</i> Ninh Phú: ex typis missionis tunquini occidentalis.
Rhodes, A. de,	1651. Dictionarium annamiticum, lusitanum, et latinum – ope Sacrae congregationis de propaganda fide in lucem editum. Roma.
Roepsforff, F.A. de,	1884. A dictionary of the Nancoury dialect of the Nicobarese language – in two parts: Nicobarese – English and English – Nicobarese. Calcutta: Home Dept. Press.

1962. A dictionary of modern spoken Mon. Shorto, H.L. London: Oxford Univ. Press. 1971. A dictionary of the Mon inscriptions from the sixth to the sixteenth centuries (London Orient. Ser. 24). London: Oxford Univ. Press. 1962. Ngữ-vựng Sedang: Sedang vocabulary (Tủ-sách ngôn-ngữ dân-tộc thiếu-số Việt-Smith, K.D. Nam, vol. 2). Saigon: Viện chuyên-khảo ngữ-học trung-tâm thượng-ngữ Kontum, Bộ-Giáo-duc. 1877. (rev. J.S. Theurel) Dictionarium Taberd, Rev. annamitico-latinum. Ninh Phú: ex typis missionis tunquini occidentalis. 1967. Việt-Nam tần tự-điển, minh họa. Saigon: Khai Trí. Thanh Nghi 1966. Han-Việt tự-điển 遺越字典 Saigon: Hưng Long. Thiểu Chữu 1966. Ngữ-vựng Chrau: Chrau vocabulary: Chrau-Việt-English (Tú-sách ngôn-ngữ) Thomas, D. & Thờ Sáng Luc dân-tộc thiếu-số Việt-Nam, vol. 1). Saigon: Bộ Giáo-dục. 1960. Việt-ngu chính-tả tự vị. Saigon: Tru, Lê ngọc, Truong-thi, 2nd ed. 1970. (et al.) Việt-Nam tự điển. Saigon: Khai Trí, 2 vols. Tu Đức 1971. (from 1898). (Chen Ching-ho ed., etc.) The Duc thanh-che the hoc giải-nghia-ca. 解答型型字译解义系 Hong Kong: Chinese Univ. Hong Kong. 1979. Noh Pacoh-Yoan-Anh: Ngu-vung Watson, R., Watson, Pacoh-Viet-Anh: Pacoh dictionary: Pacoh-Sandra & Cubuat Vietnamese-English (Th-sach ngôn-ngữ) dan-tộc thiếu-số Việt-Nam, vol. 25, pt.1). Huntington Beach, Calif .: Summer Inst. Ling. Wilkinson, R.J. 1932. A Malay-English dictionary (romanised). Mytilene: Salavopolous & Kinderlis, 2 vols.