PREFIXATION AND INFIXATION IN OLD MON, OLD KHMER, AND MODERN KHMER

By Judith M. Jacob

First I must acknowledge my indebtedness to my colleague, Mr. H. L. Shor for most kindly supplying me with information on OM prefixes and infixes. the data on OM here used have been taken from his notes. For OKhm the boof material is smaller and the language much less diverse than OM (many of OKhm texts being lists of slaves' names and their duties). It has therefore provide me with many problems and fewer examples than I should have liked.

In attempting this comparison between the three languages I looked at t collected data with a view to answering three questions:

- I. What graphic/phonetic and phonological elements may be (a) prefixe (b) infixed in each language?
- II. In what graphic/phonetic and phonological contexts do these elements occur?
- III. What grammatical functions do they perform?

The answers which I found are presented in the three following sections.

Section I. The graphic/phonetic and phonological elements which may be prefix or infixed.

A table of these elements is given on pages 65-67.

In so far as OKhm and MKhm are concerned, prefixes and infixes may treated phonologically as being entirely consonantal, consisting of one or to consonants. Such vowels as are written or pronounced before or after or betwee two consonants of a prefix or infix (short 'inherent' vowel or short neutral vow ∂ , in MKhm; short 'inherent' or occasionally i in OKhm) do not show sufficient variation to be regarded as essential phonological elements and may be sat factorily treated as prosodic features of the junction between consonants. Cf.:

¹ The phonetic transcription here used for MKhm was evolved by Miss E. J. A. Henders See 'The main features of Cambodian pronunciation', *BSOAS*, 14, 1, 1952, pp. 149–74. I OM and OKhm a straightforward transliteration is used, with the symbol' representing vowel-base.

(Contrast som 'to beg', smom 'beggar', where no junctional short vowel is felt to be necessary (Infix m).)

It may also be conveniently mentioned here that aspiration is another Khm feature of junction which is recorded in later OKhm spelling and in MKhm: e.g. OKhm sam 'together', phsam 'to add together'; MKhm $k \partial ap$ 'pleasing', $phk \partial ap$ 'to please'. (In both cases prefix p and prosodic aspiration.)

In OM more frequent use seems to have been made of a short vowel between prefixes and the initials of roots to which they were attached and a slightly wider variation of vowel is noted (short a, i and u all occur). Thus the prefix p (causative) has at least three forms:

lop ' to enterplop ' to bring in 'duk ' to be poor'paduk ' to oppress 'piñ ' to be full 'pupiñ ' to fill '

and the hypothetical prefix s has two forms, s and si:

 $k\bar{\imath}r$ 'to dig' $sk\bar{\imath}r$ 'shall dig' $sdmo\dot{n}$ 'to stay' $sdmo\dot{n}$ 'shall stay'

and, before another s,

sūl 'to write' sisūl 'shall write' sisgih 'shall be rich' sisip 'shall embellish'

and

k'īm' to smile' sik'īm' shall smile' (an isolated instance of si before a consonant other than s)

I have entered in the graphic column of the tables the exact spelling of OM words (as for OKhm also), but in the phonological column I have taken the liberty of singling out the M consonants, for the purpose of comparison with Khm.

Some OM infixes are preceded or followed by a short vowel and here Mr. Shorto takes the consonant as the phonological element, e.g.:

```
grun 'to laugh' ginrun 'laughter' p'\bar{a}r 'to put into practice' pun'\bar{a}r 'conduct' (Infix N in both cases)
```

These are entered in the phonological column as N.

The M infix u/i, for which Mr. Shorto uses the symbol u, occurs as follows. Either

- (a) it is infixed between the two consonants of an initial sequence
 - (i) with no change taking place in either consonant, e.g. kcit 'to die', kucit 'death'; or
 - (ii) with voicing of the first consonant, e.g. phic 'to fear', buhic 'to frighten'. Or

- (b) when the root has a single initial consonant
 - (i) this is reduplicated and the infix occurs between the two consonant e.g. moy 'one', mimoy 'each'; or
 - (ii) reduplication occurs but the first consonant is deglottalized, e bat 'to compare with', bibat 'to measure, test'.

Such occurrences as (b) (i) and (ii) are phonetically so like the Khm wor formed by the occurrence of the reduplicative prefix (e.g. $k \partial k a z y$ 'to scrat continually', from k a z y 'to scratch'; $p \partial b a o s$ 'to sweep diligently', from b a c s v 'to sweep'), that I have entered them, in square brackets, in the graphic colur of the prefix table to demonstrate that a phonetic similarity may conceal morphological difference.

The M infix N may similarly occur either between the two consonants of initial sequence or between the two consonants formed by the reduplication a single initial in the root, e.g. pi 'three', pumpi 'triad'; jum 'leg', jimjum 'pe of building'. Again the resulting phonetic, as opposed to morphological, similar between this phenomenon and some Khm prefixed forms (e.g. $t\partial an$ 'to be in tin to catch up', $twant\partial an$ (with prefix +N) 'to be just behind') seems sufficien striking to be entered in square brackets in the prefix table, I A 2, as well as in tinfix table, I B 2, where they belong morphologically. pun, pum, in the M precolumn have also no place in the phonological column, since they represent prefix (p) and an infix (N), and not two prefixed consonants.

Summary: Section I

No particular likenesses are observed in connection with the single consona which may be prefixed in the three languages, but when two consonants prefixed these may in all cases be either C + r or C + N.

Where one consonant is infixed it may be n, m, or N in all the languages. addition M has r and l infixes while Khm has p, b. Where two infixed consona are concerned, all three languages have only nasals and liquids. One two consonant infix, mn, occurs in all the languages.

M is unique in having a vowel infix, u.

Section II

- 1. The graphic/phonetic contexts in which prefixes and infixes occur. It was found
- (a) that the prefixes of all the languages (single consonant and two consonar may all be prefixed to roots having a single initial consonant, thus forming wo in which two or three consonants occur in close succession. Some prefixes oc before words beginning with a two-consonant sequence, e.g. s in OM (dmon stay', sdmon' shall stay'); kN in OKhm (sten (title), kamsten (title)); pr MKhm (chlwoh' to quarrel', prochlwoh' to squabble together').

I. A. PREFIX TABLE

1. Single Consonant prefixed

Phonetic nature of	OM	OM		(hm	MKhm	
prefix or infix	Graphic	Cons. only	Graphic	Phonol.	Phonetic	Phonol.
Velar .	(gu)	(g)	. —		k, kh, ka ka [+ k]	k Rd. <i>k</i>
Palatal .	[ji + j]				$egin{array}{ll} \eta at a & [+ \ \eta] \ c, \ ch, \ c at a \ c at a & [+ \ c] \end{array}$	Rd. <i>ŋ c</i> Rd. <i>c</i>
Dental .	[ti, tu + t] $[di + d]$	-	(ta) [+ t] (na) [+ n]	Rd. <i>t</i> Rd. <i>n</i>	$ \begin{array}{c c} po [+ p] \\ t, th, to \\ to [+ t] \\ do [+ d] \end{array} $	Rd. <i>p</i> t Rd. <i>t</i>
Bilabial .	p, pa	p	p, ph	p	$egin{array}{ccc} d at & [+d] \ p, ph, pa \ pa & [+p] \end{array}$	Rd. <i>d</i> <i>p</i> Rd. <i>p</i>
	$ \begin{array}{c} [bi + b] \\ (m), \\ [mi + m] \end{array} $	(m)	(mi) [+ m]	(Rd. <i>m</i>)	b ightarrow [+b] $m, m ightarrow m ightarrow [+m]$	Rd. <i>b m</i> Rd. <i>m</i>
Liquid .	(r) (ru/lu)	(r)			rə	r
Sibilant .	s, si	s			ra/la [+l]	Rd. r/l
Glottal .	(sa/su) —	(s) —	-		sə [+ s] —	Rd. <i>s</i>

2. Two Consonants prefixed

Velar .	$\begin{bmatrix} kin + k \\ kir + k \end{bmatrix}$	_	kaŋ, kaɲ, kan, kam	kN	k + short 'inherent'	kN
Palatal .	$ \begin{bmatrix} gin + g \\ gir + g \end{bmatrix} $		(0=111)	(aN)	+ any nasal	kr cN
Palatal .	$[ji\tilde{n} + j]$ $[\tilde{n}ir + \tilde{n}]$		(cam)	(cN)	c + s.i. + a.n. $cr \partial$	cr
Dental .	_		(tam) (dam) $[+d]$	(tN) (dN)	t + s.i. + a.n.	tN
			(4477)	(411)	d d d d d d d d d d	tr dN
Bilabial .	pan, pun (pur)	pN (pr)	pañ, pan, pam	pΝ	a.n. p + s.i. + a.n.	pN
	[pun, pum]		pra (mra)	pr (mr)	b + s.i. +	pr bN
Liquid .	rin (rir)	rN (rr)	(ram)	(rN)	r + s.i. + a.n.	rN
	(lum)	(lN)	(lan) [+ l]	(IN)	l + s.i. + a.n.	lN
Sibilant .	[sir + s]		_		s + s.i. + a.n.	sN
Glottal .			'an, 'am	'N	**************************************	sr 'N

I. B. INFIX TABLE

1. Single non-nasal Consonant infixed

Phonetic nature of	ОМ		OKhr	n	MKhm	
prefix or infix	Graphic	Phonol. (HLS)	Graphic	Phonol.	Phonetic	Phonol
Bilabial . Liquid .	ir, ar, ur, ra, ri (il) w, uw	(<i>l</i>)	p, ap	<u>p</u>	<u>b</u>	<u> </u>

2. Single nasal Consonant infixed

Nasal .	. m	m	m, hm, am	m	m, hm	m			
	(A	all occur wh	en root has a sin	igle initial co	onsonant)				
		}	am	m		1			
			(Occurs betw						
			cons. of init. seq	uence also)					
	n	n	n, hn, an	n	n, hn	n			
	(A	(All occur when root has a single initial consonant)							
			am	n					
			(Occurs betw	een two					
			cons. of init. seq	uence also)					
	in, iñ, uṁ,	N	am, an, an	N	short	N			
	un, añ, am				'inherent'				
					+ any nasal				
	(Occur betwee	(Occur between two consonants of initial sequence of root or between							
			ormed by redupli						
		1		1	1				

3. Two Consonants infixed

OM		OKh	nm	MKhm		
Graphic	Phonol. (HLS)	Graphic	Phonol.	Phonetic	Phonol.	
inm, aṁm	Nm					
amn	Nn	amn	mn	short inherent + mn	mn	
irn	rn			rən	rn	
				rəm	rm	
uṁw	Nw					
	(All occur only	when root has	single initial c	onsonant)		

4. Vowel, or Vowels, infixed

	OKh	m	MKhm		
Phonol.	Graphic	Phonol.	Phonetic	Phonol.	
plicated initia	I sequence of roo	ot. May be acco	etween two cor mpanied by vo	nsonants licing or	
-	u n two consonar plicated initia	Phonol. Graphic u two consonants of initial sequence of roof points and the sequence of roof points.	u — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Phonol. Graphic Phonol. Phonetic u — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	

Meaning of abbreviations, etc. in tables:

- () (OM) only one or two occurrences known. (OKhm) meanings not completely established.
- [] Placed for interest in graphic column but have no place in phonological column of the table.

Rd. Reduplicated.

- N Nasal which may vary in point of articulation according to the context, or even at the discretion of the scribe.
- (b) That in all the languages infixes may occur with roots having either a single initial consonant or a two-consonant initial sequence.
- 2. The phonological contexts in which prefixes and infixes occur.

Here there are some striking features of resemblance. In all three languages both prefixes and infixes are chiefly consonantal and as such either

- (a) they occur without adding a syllable to the structure of the root, e.g. OM cow 'to return', scow 'shall return'; gon' to be brave', gmon' brave'; OKhm gāl' to present oneself to a superior', phgāl' to have oneself presented to a superior'; ram' to dance', rmam' dancer'; MKhm rsis 'to pick out, choose', crsis 'to take one's choice'; dos' to trade', thnos 'price'. Or
- (b) they are linked to the root by means of a short vowel. In this case, the resulting word often has an iambic construction, length of syllable and, for MKhm at least, stress occurring in the utterance of the vowel and final of the root, e.g. OM puwiñ 'play', from wiñ 'to play'; jirhān 'love', from chān 'to love'; OKhm 'antām 'plantation', from tām 'to plant'; camnār 'engraving', from cār 'to engrave'; MKhm bəŋriən 'to teach', from rìən 'to learn'; trənùm 'perch', from tùm 'to perch'.

It is in all the languages the initial or initial sequence of the root to which an infix (as well as a prefix) is attached, the vowel and final of the root being unaffected. It is partly because of this that phonetically similar material has seemed grammatically different to Mr. Shorto and myself. Mr. Shorto, for whom u/i is an infix in its own right (kmin 'to be king', kumin 'to enthrone'; etc.), arrives at the conclusion that the same infix occurs in the initials of the roots $j\bar{a}p$ 'each, all', moy 'one', necessitating the reduplication of those initials: $jij\bar{a}p$ 'all',

Section III. The grammatical functions performed by the prefixes and infixes.

The collected data are presented in a table on p. 69.

It will be observed that the nominalizing and causative functions are common to all three languages and are carried out by both prefixes and infixes. It is only OKhm that no instance of a causative infix has been noted and this may be at to lack of evidence only. The nominal function may be further analysed into ty of noun produced, and here one is struck by the occurrence in all three langua of the double nasal infix (Nn/Nm/mn) for general nouns, the utensil-infix and the agent-infix m.

The entry for OKhm in the quantifier section was made on the strength only two occurrences noted by me, tanlon (capacity measure; quantifier), fr tlon (capacity measure), and sanre 'rice-field' (quantifier), from sre 'rice-lan These words I have elsewhere 'regarded as nouns which have taken the n-in (utensil, means), but it seems worth while to take them out here for compari with M. In MKhm the two words seem to have disappeared, and among quantifiers which I have listed I find only a few words in which the presence of infix of this kind might be suspected: comriak 'strip' (quantifier for pieces fish or meat), from criak 'to tear in strips'; sonrap 'suit, set', from s' finished'; kondap 'sheaf', from kdap 'to grasp in the hands'. In all the

¹ 'The structure of the word in Old Khmer', BSOAS, 23, 2, 1960, pp. 351-68.

THE GRAMMATICAL FUNCTIONS OF THE PREFIXES AND INFIXES

Communication!	О	ОМ		OKhm		MKhm	
Grammatical Function	Pref.	Inf.	Pref.	Inf.	Pref.	Inf.	
1. Nominalizing (a) General	tN, p, m, r, rN, rr, lN	N ₁ , N ₂ , Nn, Nm, Nw, n, r, rn, l, w,	pN, 'N (pr)? (kN)?	mn	cN, dN, tr, m, IN, sN	mn, N	
(b) Utensil		uw, u n		n	'N	n, N,	
(c) Agent	(gu)	Nm	·	m		rn m, N, rm	
(d) Object made .(e) Attributive	_	m, um		<u>p</u>	_	<u>b</u>	
noun 2. Causative	p, (r) ?, $rin,$	$\begin{array}{c} N_2 \\ u, (N) ?, \\ r \end{array}$	p		cr, dN, p, pN,	m	
3. Frequentative .	lu? pr, (r)?	N, r	_		bN Rd. k, c, t, d, p, b, ŋ,		
Intensifier				_	n, m, s $Rd. c,$ $m,$ $s + kN,$ $cN, pr, lN,$		
4. Quantifier	(p) s sa/su	N, n, w u u	('N) 	<i>n</i>	sr, sN	 	
Group numeral . 8. Proper name . 9. Attributive verb .	_ _ _	N, r N ₂	(kN)?	. <u> </u>	 k, kr, kN, tr, r, rN		

words, the infix is N, which may represent the general nominalizer or the agent infix just as well as the n-infix.

OM and OKhm are again comparable in using prefixes or infixes to express a verbalizing function, although evidence for OKhm is slender ('ampān' to arrest', from $p\bar{a}n$ ' to hold, keep').

OM is alone in using prefixes and infixes for two sets of functions:

(i) The hypothetical and preparative function (prefixes s and sa/su). These

I have put together as they are similar in meaning as well as possibly identiphonologically: e.g. gap 'to please', sgap 'shall please'; rap 'to hold', sur 'to put ready at hand'. MKhm has a separate word for this grammatical function (mùn 'shall, will, having the idea of'). In OKhm, the word pi 'in order to, we the intention of', seems to have a very wide variety of usages and might be regard as at least partially fulfilling this function. No evidence of a prefix has been four

(ii) Two functions which relate to numerals, i.e. the formation by means infixes of distributive and group numerals. These seem to be entirely non-exist in Khm.

OKhm alone has a prefix to indicate a proper name. MKhm is alone in hav a series of prefixes which turn a transitive verb into an attributive verb, w 'passive' sense, e.g. cat-cary 'to spend', khcat-khcary 'dispersed'; dork uproot', rodork' uprooted'. The OM infix N₂, which also forms an attribut verb, may, however, be regarded as comparable in function.

In M more than one prefix/infix may be used at the same time with a root, e. dow 'to run away' spdow 'shall chase away' (Prefixes s and p) c'ah' to be pure' scu'ah 'shall purify' (Prefix s and infix u)

This is very rare in Khm. Even where a 'family' of words are current, $k \partial \partial p$ 'pleasing', $phk \partial \partial p$ 'to please', $b \partial n k \partial \partial p$ 'to give an order', one does regard $-\partial n$ - (N) as infixed into the prefixed form $phk \partial \partial p$, since $b \partial N$ is itself commonly used as a prefix with many roots for which there is no intermed form comparable with $phk \partial \partial p$, e.g. $p \partial p$ 'full', $b \partial m p \partial p$ 'to fill'; $t \partial m$ 'rip $b \partial n t \partial m$ 'to ripen' (transitive).

It is possible, however, that a diachronic study of Khm would reveal that so apparent two-consonant prefixes developed from two separate prefixed or infiunits.

I would summarize the result of this inquiry as follows. There is very lin OKhm which has not continued and developed in MKhm. Where import contrasts are found, they are chiefly between M on the one hand and Khm, O a Mod, on the other. One is faced by close resemblances, in which are combined aspects, phonetic, phonological, and functional, and by complete contrans Nevertheless it would seem to me that a comparison of MK on these same potential prefixed or infixed, contexts in which they occur, functions perform with other non-MK languages would demonstrate the resemblance rather than difference between M and Khm.

¹ Mr. Shorto has suggested that the OM preparative function is in effect a 'causative of hypothetical'. 'To put ready at hand' might then be paraphrased 'to cause (someone) to about to hold'.