Lexical and Phonological Sources of Hmong Elaborate Expressions

Brenda Johns and David Strecker

In this paper, we would like to detail the ways in which White Hmong elaborate expressions are built. These expressions are sometimes in the form of a four-morpheme array with particular semantic and phonological constraints for aesthetic heightening of language (that is, they are found to be prominent in poetry, but may certainly occur as a rhetorical device in ordinary conversation, as well). Typical examples are the following:

khwv iab khwv daw 'arduous toil' toil bitter toil salty chaw 'to rule a country' kav teb kav rule land rule place nkhaus niv nkhaus nab nom snake bent (intensifier) bent (intensifier) 'le serpent fait des sinuosités' (Bertrais)

There are two lexical sources in Hmong for quadrisyllabics. Hmong contains a large number of <u>intensifiers</u>, words describing the qualities of verbs. That is. these intensifiers function adverbially. Ratliff (1986a;1986c:Chapter IV and Appendix III) has examined the properties of these intensifiers in detail. The first noticeable feature is the alliteration, as in the following examples:

liab ncav nuj nuas 'the monkey extends his monkey extend (intensifier) long arms this way and that' nws nkees nkees qaug zog luj luas s/he tired tired weak (intensifier) 's/he is lethargic and unsteady' duj das xwb tib tug fee Foom ua Foom make single CLF. turn (intensifier) only 'Foom merely turned his head, shaking his head and shoulder "I don't know."'

These intensifiers also involve, to some extent, patterned tonal changes in the two parts of the intensifier. Ratliff found, for example, that it is possible to link meaning and form with some of these pairings.

The elements of the intensifier can be made into an elaborate expression or quadrisyllabic by interpolation of other grammatical material, typically a verb like <u>ua</u> 'to do'. Thus, the expression used as an intensifier, <u>dog dig</u> 'badly, haphazardly', may be broken up so that the elements appear in the second and fourth slots of a four part expression:

ua dog dig 'to do badly, haphazardly'

<u>ua dog ua dig</u> idem

Quadrisyllabic expressions may also be formed from a combination of a lexical item and an intensifier. In such cases, it appears that the intensifier has the property of alliterating with the lexical item, and it seems to be thus formed to be paired with a particular word. Ratliff calls this "prosaic word incorporation" (Ratliff 1986c; section 2.1.1, pages 183-185). Examples include:

dig 'to be blind' ==> duj dig 'gropingly, feeling one's way like a blind person'

teev 'a drop' ==> tuj teev 'drop by drop'

e.g. dej nrog tuj teev 'the water drips drop by water drip drop'

A slightly more complex example is the expression <u>tej</u> <u>chwb</u> <u>chim</u> <u>tej</u> <u>ntwb</u> <u>ntu</u> meaning 'sporadically', 'from time to time', e.g.

"Sib Fi Xov" lub neej ploj qab lawm CLF. life, disappear has ...-ed existence

τej	chwb	cnim	τεյ	ntwo	ntu
PLURAL		period of time			period of time

'<u>Sib</u> <u>Fi</u> <u>Xov</u> [a Hmong newsletter] has ceased publication from time to time', '<u>Sib</u> <u>Fi</u> <u>Xov</u> has appeared only sporadically' (<u>Sib</u> <u>Fi</u> <u>Xov</u> 28:1:8)

Here <u>chwb</u> and <u>ntwb</u> seem to have no independent meaning but serve rather as alliterative intensification of the nouns <u>chim</u> and <u>ntu</u>.

We have not found so many of these examples; it would be good to have a larger corpus in order to find out whether these intensifiers are further constrained phonologically. For example, is there a tendency to any vowel or tone patterning? Indeed, Ratliff reports six tonal patterns which seem to convey particular types of sound or movement (Ratliff 1986c:188ff and Appendix III):

-b/-b: high pitched, short sounds

- -g/-g: low-pitched, echoic, hollow, airy sounds
- -j/-j: energetic, fast, short sounds; surface contact as opposed to contact and penetration
- -s/-s: flat, continuous, unending sights and sounds
- -j/-s: suggests both aspects of -j/-v (back and forth) and -s/-s (level and steady); used for sounds, movements, and attitudes
- -j/-v: a double orientation (back and forth, up and down, in and out); used for sounds, movements, and attitudes.

A great many quadrisyllabics involve lexically paired words. They do not alliterate or show any special phonological relationship but rather are from the same semantic field. Typical examples include those we have cited earlier:

... iab ... daw 'bitter and salty' = 'arduous'
 e.g. khwv iab khwv daw 'arduous toil'
 toil bitter toil salty
... teb ... chaw 'land and place' = 'country'
 e.g. kav teb kav chaw 'to rule a country'
 rule land rule place

Jean Mottin points out that such pairings are of different semantic types:

Certain paired words add to one another, in the sense that they amplify or slightly change the meaning, e.g. "noj" (to eat) + "haus" (to drink) = "to subsist". But others simply repeat without adding anything to the meaning -- e.g. "txhij" (complete) + "txhua" (complete) = "complete" -- so that in many cases one of the two words seems to have been created simply to form a pair and can not be used by itself: thus "ki" is used only in the expression "tub ki" = children.

(Mottin 1978:198; our translation from the French.) Mottin is making two points here. First, he makes the distinction between paired synonyms like <u>txhij</u> and <u>txhua</u>, where the meaning of the whole is the same as the meaning of either part, as opposed to pairings of semantically related but not synonymous words like <u>noj</u> and <u>haus</u>, where the meaning of the whole is different from the meaning of either part. Second, he calls attention to bound forms like <u>ki</u>, that occur only as part of paired words. We will return to Mottin's second point at the end of this paper.

Some paired words both alliterate and show a semantic relationship, as in Mottin's example of <u>txhij</u> and <u>txhua</u>, or in

... daj ... dub 'yellow and black' = 'severe'
 e.g. cua daj cua dub 'tempest'
 wind yellow wind black

Another example is

do	side	yoj ua swing from do side to side, wave, quiver			r, back	to qu	liver'
	e.g.	sky	do	yoj swing h trembi	earth		yees quiver

Are such examples essentially semantic pairings which only coincidentally alliterate, or are the semantics and the phonology reinforcing one another?

Of particular interest are non-alliterating pairs involving what we may call a bound form. Consider, for example, ... <u>qoob</u> ... <u>loo</u> 'grains, crops' as in

ua goob ua loo 'to raise crops' (Heimbach, Bertrais)

sau qoob sau loo 'to harvest crops' (Johnson, pp. 122, 123)

<u>Qoob</u> occurs independently in the meaning 'crops, grains', e.g. <u>sau qoob</u> 'to harvest crops' (Bertrais), but <u>loo</u> seems to occur only in collocation with <u>qoob</u>. In some other Hmongic languages, however, cognates of <u>loo</u> occur as independent morphemes meaning 'earth' or 'field', for example

QoXiong <u>lut</u> [lu⁵³] 'dry field' (as opposed to paddy field)

(Wang 1985:160; cf. Chang 1947:101, 1972: Chart 13; Purnell 1970, Appendix #314). (In terms of the reconstruction of

Wang 1979 this word would be Proto-Hmongic *(32)-C.)

It seems likely, therefore, that an expression like <u>sau</u> <u>qoob</u> <u>sau</u> <u>loo</u> originally meant literally 'to harvest the grains and harvest the fields'. Later, <u>loo</u> meaning 'dry field' was replaced by <u>teb</u> so that <u>loo</u> survived only in collocation with <u>qoob</u> and its original meaning was forgotten.

Another example is ... <u>tub</u> ... <u>kiv</u> (or <u>ki</u>) 'child, offspring', which we met earlier in the quote from Mottin. (The variant form <u>ki</u> is an example of sandhi form promotion: see Ratliff 1986b:6-8, 1986c: Chapter II, section 4.1.) This expression occurs in such quadrisyllabics as

muaj tub muaj ki 'to have children' tseg tub tseg ki 'bereft of children' luag tub luag kiv 'other people's children'

<u>Tub</u> occurs independently meaning 'son' as in this example from Yaj Txooj Tsawb's "Outline of Marriage":

					ub yonder	
	0	ntxhais. daughter				

'Suppose that you have a son, and say that those other people over there have a daughter.'

(Yaj 1974:1, 1977:3:114, 1978:3:114, 1986:102-103.) In White Hmong and Green Mong and closely related languages of China (that is, those belonging to the Sichuan-Guizhou-Yunnan subgroup of the Sichuan-Guizhou-Yunnan group of Hmongic), <u>kiv</u> seems to occur only in collocation with <u>tub</u>, but in the more distantly related Mhu language of southeastern Guizhou there is a form

<u>jid</u> [t**s**i³⁵]

which appears to be cognate and which means 'son-in-law'. * (Lyman 1974, s.v. /tú/; Xiong, Xiong, and Xiong 1983, s.vv. <u>ki</u>, <u>tub</u>; Hmongb-Shuad Jianming Cidian 1958, s.v. <u>dob gid</u>; Hmub-Diel Jianming Cidian 1958, s.v. <u>jid</u>; Wang 1985:173.) It is possible, therefore, that ... <u>tub</u> ... <u>kiv</u> originally meant 'sons and sons-in-law'. If this is true, then the shift in meaning to 'sons and daughters' may tell us something about the history of Hmong gender roles and social structure.

*In terms of the reconstruction of Wang 1979 this word would be Proto-Hmongic *k- 1 -B. For the palatalization in Mhu, compare 'medicine', 'gold', 'needle'.

Bertrais, Yves. 1964. Dictionnaire Hmong-Français. Mission Catholique, Vientiane. Reprinted 1979 by Assumption Press, Bangkok. Chang, Kun. 1947. Miao-Yao yu shengdiao wenti (On the Tone System of the Miao-Yao Languages). Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology, Academia Sinica 16:93-110. 1972. The Reconstruction of Proto-Miao-Yao Tones. Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology, Academia Sinica 44:541-628. Heimbach, Ernest E. 1979. White Hmong-English Dictionary. Revised edition. Data Paper 75, Southeast Asia Program, Department of Asian Studies, Cornell University. Hmongb-Shuad Jianming Cidian (Hmong-Chinese Pocket Dictionary). Guizhou Nationalities Press, 1958. Hmub-Diel Jianming Cidian (Mhu-Chinese Pocket Dictionary). Guizhou Nationalities Press, 1958. Johnson, Charles, editor. 1985. Myths, Legends and Folk Tales from the Hmong of Laos. Privately published. Lyman, Thomas Amis. 1974. Dictionary of Mong Njua. Mouton, The Hague and Paris. Mottin, Jean. 1978. Eléments de Grammaire Hmong Blanc. Don Bosco Press, Bangkok. Purnell, Herbert C., Jr. 1970. Toward a Reconstruction of Proto-Miao-Yao. PhD dissertation, Cornell University. University microfilms 70-17,096. Ratliff, Martha. 1986a. Two-Word Expressives in White Hmong. In Glenn L. Hen-dricks, Bruce T. Downing and Amos S. Deinard, editors, The Hmong in Transition. Center for Migration Studies of New York, Inc. The Southeast Asian Refugee Studies of the University of Minnesota. Pages 219-236. 1986ъ.

An Analysis of Some Tonally-Differentiated Doublets in White Hmong (Miao). Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area 9.2:1-35. Ratliff. Martha. 1986c. The Morphological Functions of Tone in White Hmong. PhD dissertation, The University of Chicago.

Wang Fushi. 1979.

Miaoyu fangyan shengyunmu bijiao (The Comparison of Initials and Finals of Miao Dialects). 12th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics.

. 1985. Miaoyu jianzhi (A Sketch of the Miao Language). Nationalities Press, Peking.

- Xiong, Lang, Joua Xiong and Nao Leng Xiong. 1983. English-Mong-English Dictionary. Mong Volunteer Literacy, Winfield, Illinois.
- Yaj Txoob Tsawb. 1974.

Piav tus txheej txheem kab tshoob kev kos (Outline of Marriage Rites). In Kab Tshoob Kev Kos (Wedding Rites). Cyclostyled. Vientiane. Pages 1-19.

1977.

With French translation by Yves Bertrais. In Yves Bertrais, Le mariage traditionnel chez les Hmong blancs du Laos et de la Thailande. Hmong Center, Chiang Mai, Thailand. Pages 3:114-3:133.

1978.

With English translation of Bertrais's French translation. In Yves Bertrais, The Traditional Marriage among the White Hmong of Thailand and Laos. Hmong Center, Chiang Mai, Thailand. Pages 3:114-3:133.

. 1986.

With new English translation by David Strecker. The Hmong World 1:102-111 (excerpt of first part of the Outline only). Yale Southeast Asia Studies, New Haven.