Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area Volume 25.1 - Spring 2002

### WEDGE ISSUES\*

# James A. Matisoff

#### University of California, Berkeley

### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

When I elicited the Pumi (Prinmi) word tsó 'wedge' in Kunming (March 1996), I was struck by its resemblance to Lahu jû 'wedge'. Since the Qiangic languages are not particularly close to Loloish on the TB family tree, this apparent cognate for an item of non-core vocabulary was of interest. The first task in establishing a relationship between the Pumi and the Lahu forms was to reconstruct the PLB ancestor of Lahu jû. Then possible cognates to the Pumi form in other Qiangic languages had to be examined. Given our present rudimentary knowledge of comparative Qiangic, could parallel examples establish a Proto-Qiangic reconstruction resembling our newly reconstructed PLB form?

As it turns out, the Pumi and Lahu forms are not cognate after all. Still, this study has unearthed several new etyma for 'wedge', and clarified some Qiangic rhyme developments, especially as concerns the fate of PTB \*-am and \*ap. Finally, it raises some cautionary issues in comparing sets of forms across distant subgroups of the vast TB family.

### 2.0 THE PLB PROVENIENCE OF LAHU jû: PLB \*N-džam<sup>2</sup>

Lahu jû (N; Mpfx) 'wedge; shim; stake' is both a free noun (N) and a morpheme prefixable by 10- (Mpfx), occurring in collocations like:

che-kə-jû (N)	'shim used in a rice-pounder'
jû dô? ve (OV)	drive in a wedge/stake
jû šε ve (OV)	'insert a wedge; insert a wooden pin into a prepared hole'
13-jû ka ve (OV)	'drive in a wedge/stake'

No etymology was offered for this morpheme in Matisoff 1988:163, 568. The abundant new Lolo-Burmese data provided in Sun et al, 1991 (henceforth

<sup>\*</sup> This paper was originally presented orally in Chinese (Minorities University, Beijing; June 3, 1997) with the title 用楔子撬开问题 "Ylong xiēzi qilao kāi wienti" ("Using a wedge to pry open a problem"). It was then published under the same title in Yǔyán Yánjiū (Wuhan) 2000.1:106-27.

**ZMYYC**), and Dai et al, 1992 (henceforth **TBL**), now allows us to reconstruct a PLB root with confidence.

### 2.1 Burmish reflexes

Achang (Longchuan)	a <sup>31</sup> ce <sup>51</sup>	<b>ZMYYC #413</b> , p. 783; TBL #620, p. 207
Bola	sõ <sup>35</sup> t∫ <u>ẽ</u> ³¹	TBL #620
Langsu (=Maru) <sup>1</sup>	saŋ <sup>35</sup> t∫į̇̃ <sup>31</sup>	ZMYYC #413; TBL #620
Zaiwa (=Atsi)	siŋ²¹t∫am²¹	ZMYYC #413; TBL #620

The Burmish reflexes are crucial, pointing unmistakably to a nasal-finalled rhyme. The Zaiwa form narrows it down to \*-am. In WB itself, the reflex of \*-am is -am, but there is no apparent Burmese cognate to this set.<sup>2</sup> The Achang (Longchuan) form  $a^{31}ce^{51}$  cited above (2.01) is not cognate, since the regular Achang reflex of \*-am is also -am (see sets below).

The dozen or so best-attested \*-(w)am etyma in Lolo Burmese, and their WB reflexes, are as follows:

	PLB	WB
'bear'	*d-wam <sup>1</sup> $\approx$ <sup>2</sup>	(wak-) wam
'belly'	*p-wam <sup>2</sup>	wâm
'bridge'	*dzam <sup>1</sup>	tsam
'dare'	*wam <sup>3</sup>	wam'
'ear/spike (grain)'	*s- nam <sup>1</sup>	hnam
'fathom/cord'	*s-lam <sup>1</sup> $\ge$ <sup>2</sup>	$lam > hlam^3$
'fence/garden'	*kram <sup>i</sup>	khram
'fly' (v.)	*byam <sup>i</sup>	pyam
'hair (head)'	*tsam <sup>1</sup>	tsham
'iron'	*syam <sup>1</sup>	sam
'otter'	*syam <sup>1</sup> ≍ *pyam <sup>1</sup> < PTB *sram	phyam
'road'	*lam <sup>2</sup> $\approx$ <sup>3</sup>	lam
'sesame'	*s-nam <sup>2</sup>	hnâm
'smell'	*nam <sup>1</sup> $\approx$ <sup>2</sup> $\approx$ <sup>3</sup>	nam, nâm, nam'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The first syllables in the Langsu and Zaiwa forms apparently mean 'wood', although the free morphemes for 'wood' in Langsu and Zaiwa have final stops rather than nasals (Langsu sak, Zaiwa sik<sup>55</sup>. This root shows  $-\eta \neq -k$  variation in TB as a whole.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below 4.2 for a discussion of WB sap and its possible cognates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The aspirated allofam means 'to stretch out the arm'; the \*s- prefix is also reflected in Yi Mile  $tu^{33}$  and Jinuo  $te^{33}$ .

### Wedge issues

	Achang	Zaiwa	Leqi	Langsu <sup>4</sup>	Bola
'bear'	3m <sup>55</sup>	vam <sup>51</sup>	wom <sup>31</sup>	vẽ <sup>31</sup>	vẽ <sup>55</sup>
'belly'	$\mathbf{2m}^{31}$ tau <sup>31</sup>	vam <sup>21</sup>	wom <sup>33</sup> tou <sup>33</sup>	vẽ <sup>35</sup> tuk <sup>31</sup>	<b>ve<sup>31</sup> tau</b> <sup>31</sup>
'bridge'	tçam <sup>55</sup>	tsam <sup>51</sup>	tsam <sup>31</sup>	tsẽ <sup>31</sup>	tsẽ <sup>55</sup>
'dare'		vam <sup>55</sup>	wu:m <sup>55</sup>	VĨ <sup>55</sup>	vẽ <sup>35</sup>
'fathom'	lam <sup>55</sup>	lam <sup>51</sup>	lam <sup>31</sup>	lẽ <sup>31</sup>	lã <sup>55</sup>
'ear/spike(grain)'	tçə <sup>55</sup> <b>ņam<sup>55</sup></b>	a <sup>21</sup> nam <sup>51</sup>	a <sup>55</sup> nam <sup>33</sup>	kauk <sup>31</sup> n£ <sup>31</sup>	nē <sup>55</sup>
'fly'	tşam <sup>55</sup>	[ta ŋ <sup>21</sup> ]	[ta: ŋ <sup>33</sup> ]	[tɔ̃ <sup>35</sup> ]	[tõ <sup>31</sup> ]
'garden/fence'		khjam <sup>51</sup>	khjam <sup>33</sup>	khjẽ <sup>31</sup>	khjẽ <sup>55</sup>
'hair (of head)'		u <sup>21</sup> tsham <sup>51</sup>	tsham <sup>33</sup>	tshẽ <sup>31</sup>	tshẽ <sup>55</sup>
'iron'	ş am <sup>55</sup>	∫am <sup>51</sup> to? <sup>55</sup>	[t∫ɔ?³¹ t₂?⁵⁵]	∫ẽ <sup>31</sup> tə? <sup>55</sup>	∫ẽ <sup>55</sup> -tạ? <sup>55</sup>
'otter'	sam <sup>55</sup>	xam <sup>51</sup>	∫ām³³	XĨ <sup>31</sup>	ХĨ <sup>55</sup>
'smell'	nam <sup>31</sup>	nam <sup>51</sup>	na:m <sup>31</sup>	nã <sup>31</sup>	nẽ <sup>55</sup>
'wedge'	[a <sup>31</sup> ce <sup>51</sup> ]	siŋ t∫ <u>a</u> m²¹		saŋ³⁵ t∫ĩã³¹	sõ <sup>35</sup> t∫ <u>ẽ</u> ̃ <sup>31</sup>

Reflexes of these etyma in other Burmish languages are quite regular:

These Burmish reflexes may be tabulated as follows:

PLB	Achang	Zaiwa	Leqi	Langsu	Bola
	(Longchuan)	(Atsi)	(Lashi)	(Maru)	
*-am	-am, -am	-am	-am, -om, -um	-€	-ĩ

# 2.2 Loloish reflexes for 'wedge'

Gazhuo	sη <sup>35</sup> tsε <sup>31</sup>	TBL #620
Hani (Lüchu <b>n</b> )	tsha <sup>31</sup> tsho <sup>31</sup>	TBL #620
Hani (Mojiang)	tɔ <sup>31</sup> t∫u <sup>31</sup>	TBL #620
Hani (Shuikui)	tɔ <sup>31</sup> t <b>∫hu<sup>31</sup></b>	ZMYYC #413
Lahu (Black)	dzu <sup>53</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Lisu	dzo³¹t∫h€ <sup>55</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Lisu (Northern)	n5 <sup>55</sup> d <b>z</b> 5 <sup>21</sup>	DB-Lisu <sup>5</sup>
Naxi (Lijiang)	ន្ <b>យ</b> <sup>55</sup>	ZMYYC #413; TBL #620
Nusu (Bijiang)	tça <sup>55</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Nusu	tşa <sup>55</sup>	TBL #620
Sani	sž dzv <sup>11</sup>	TBL #620
Yi (Mile (Axi))	dzi <sup>21</sup> bu <sup>33</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Yi (Mojiang)	¢i <sup>33</sup> dze <sup>33</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Yi (Nanjian)	dzy <sup>21</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Yi (Nanhua)	ç <u>i</u> <sup>33</sup> dz ur <sup>21</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Yi (Weishan)	ba <sup>21</sup> d <b>zy<sup>21</sup></b>	TBL #620
Yi (Wuding)	ntshe <sup>33</sup>	TBL #620
Yi (Xide)	ndz 0 <sup>33</sup>	ZMYYC #413; TBL #620

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Bola forms given in TBL (Language #32 of 50) are virtually identical to these Langsu (Maru) forms (Lg. #31 in TBL).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This form is not from either ZMYYC or TBL, but rather from Bradley 1994.

At first glance, some of these forms look like possible loans from Chinese 楔子 (cf. Mandarin xiēzi), especially Yi Nanhua  $ce^{21}$  ts  $1^{33}$  (TBL #620). On the other hand, the first syllables might be reduced forms of morphemes meaning 'wood' (< PTB \*sik × \*siŋ). To ascertain whether, e.g. the Gazhuo, Sani, Mojiang, and Nanhua (ZMYYC) forms are loans from Chinese or not, we shall have to look at other cognate sets reflecting the rhyme \*-am.

### 2.3 The PLB \*initial

The voicedness of the initial in Lahu j $\hat{u}$  points unmistakably to a \*prenasalized prototype.<sup>6</sup> The Chinese Lahu source has dz-, perhaps inaccurately recorded; but in any case there is no contrast in Black Lahu between dentals and palatals. The palatal phonemes /c ch j š y/ have dental allophones before -i:

 $/c ch j š y/ ---> [ts tsh dz s z] / ----i^7$ 

The prenasalization of the PLB initial is directly confirmed by the Yi Wuding and Yi Xide reflexes.

#### 2.4 The PLB \*tone

Since Lahu jû is from PLB Tone \*2, we expect that its LB cognates will also reflect that tone. To check that out, all we need do is compare the tones for 'wedge' in these languages with the tones of the reflexes of an "exemplary" Tone \*2 etymon. In the case of the Burmish forms we should select a non-verbal<sup>8</sup> etymon, e.g. PLB \*sum<sup>2</sup> 'three':

	Tone of WEDGE	Tone of THREE
Burmish	0	v
Achang (Longchuan)		31 sum <sup>31</sup>
Bola	31	55 sam <sup>55 9</sup>
Zaiwa (Atsi)	21	21 sum <sup>21</sup>
Langsu (Maru)	31	31 sam <sup>31</sup>
Leqi (Lashi)		55 som <sup>55</sup>
WB		^ sûm

<sup>6</sup> See Matisoff 1972:15-16.

<sup>7</sup> See Matisoff 1973/1982, pp. 6-8.

<sup>8</sup> As Burling (1968:57-8, 69) demonstrated, Atsi and Maru tonal reflexes of PLB Tone \*2 are different for verbs as opposed to non-verbs.

<sup>9</sup> I cannot explain why this form has tone 55, since many other Tone \*2 etyma give Bola tone 31: 'bone' \*rəw<sup>2</sup> > Bo.  $[\check{a} - u^{31}, 'four' *b - ləy^2 > Bo. mai<sup>31</sup>, 'five' *ŋa<sup>2</sup> > Bo. ma<sup>31</sup>, 'nine' *gəw<sup>2</sup> > Bo. kau<sup>31</sup>. Furthermore, other Tone *2 etyma with initial *s- develop Bola tone 35: 'blood' *swəy<sup>2</sup> > Bo. sui<sup>35</sup>, 'meat' *sa<sup>2</sup> > Bo. fa<sup>35</sup>. On the other hand, numerals frequently slow tonal irregularities in LB; Lahu šê? 'three' is also tonally anomalous (the 'correct' form šē only occurs with certain classifiers).$ 

In the case of Loloish, 'three' will not do as a comparison, since etyma with voiceless sibilant initials often acquire special tones. Better would be 'bitter' (PLB  $ka^2$ ):

	Tone of WEDGE	Tone of BITTER
Loloish	-	·
Gazhuo	31	31 kha <sup>31</sup>
Hani (Lüchun)	31	31 <b>xa</b> <sup>31</sup>
Hani (Mojiang)	31	31 xɔ <sup>31</sup>
Hani (Shuikui)	31	31 xo <sup>31</sup>
Lahu (Black)	53	53 qha <sup>53</sup>
Lisu	31	31 khua <sup>31</sup>
Lisu (Northern)	21	21 hkwa <sup>21</sup>
Nusu (Bijiang) <sup>10</sup>	55	53 kha <sup>53</sup>
Sani	11	11 qho <sup>11</sup>
Yi (Dafang)		33 khu <sup>33</sup>
Yi (Mile (Axi))	21	21 kha <sup>21</sup>
Yi (Mojiang)	33	33 kho <sup>33</sup>
Yi (Nanhua)	21	21 kha <sup>21</sup>
Yi (Nanjian)	21	21 kha <sup>21</sup>
Yi (Weishan)	21	21 kha <sup>21</sup>
Yi (Wuding)	33	33 kho <sup>33</sup>
Yi (Xide)	33	33 khuu <sup>33</sup>

### 2.5 The PLB \*rhyme

When you have widely divergent rhymes in cognates from language to language, it's a good bet that they reflect a closed syllable proto-rhyme (i.e. one with a final stop or nasal). As we shall see, Loloish reflexes of \*-am go all over the map:

i	у		1	w	ų		u
1				Y		un	
e							0
3							Э
		а		'n			a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The conditioning for the reflexes of Tone \*2 in Nusu are not yet clear. Other Tone \*2 etyma do give Nusu 55, e.g. 'five' PLB  $*\eta a^2 > Nusu \eta a^{55}$ .

	BEAR *d-wam <sup>1</sup> ≥ <sup>2</sup>	BELLY *p wam <sup>2</sup>	BRIDGE *n-dzam <sup>1</sup>
Lahu (Black)	yje-mi-tō	<b>ğ</b> ô-pje / γu <sup>53</sup> pe <sup>31</sup> 11	clo
Yi Xide	γo <sup>33</sup> (Γ *1)	[i <sup>21</sup> mo <sup>21</sup> ] 12	dzi <sup>33</sup>
Yi Nanjian			γ0 <sup>21</sup> dzy <sup>55</sup>
Yi Nanhua	<b>үш<sup>33</sup>mA<sup>21</sup></b>		dzur <sup>33</sup>
Yi Mile (Axi)		tsi <sup>33</sup>	
Yi Mojiang			<b>dzwi<sup>21</sup></b> gw <sup>21</sup>
Yi Dafang	YUI <sup>21</sup>	γ ɔ <sup>13</sup> mo <sup>55</sup>	hw <sup>33</sup> 13
Lisu	γ0 <sup>33</sup> / vε-ti <sup>55</sup> 14	[he <sup>31</sup> khi <sup>31</sup> ]	ktno <sup>31</sup> dze <sup>33</sup>
Naxi Lijiang	gv <sup>31</sup>		ndzo <sup>31</sup>
Naxi Yongning			dzo <sup>33</sup>
Hani Biyue	o <sup>31</sup> je <sup>55</sup>		tse <sup>33</sup> kv <sup>31</sup>
Hani Dazhai (Luchun)	xa <sup>31</sup> 3 <sup>55</sup>	b <sup>55</sup> dzɔ <sup>5</sup>	
Hani Shuikui (Mojiang)	x3 <sup>31</sup> v <sup>55</sup>	Yu <sup>31</sup> mɔ <sup>33</sup>	tchɔ <sup>31</sup>
Akha	k'a hanĭ		law dzm
Jinuo	a <sup>33</sup> Ø <sup>44</sup>		khʁa³³ tshɛ³³
Gazhuo			tse <sup>33</sup>
Yi Sani	YW <sup>33</sup>	[1 <sup>11</sup> p1 <sup>44</sup> ]	tsy <sup>33</sup>
Yi Wuding	je <sup>11</sup> mo <sup>55</sup>		ntshe <sup>11</sup>
Yi Weishan		$[\mathbf{b} 1^{21}  \mathrm{ma}^{33}  \mathrm{d} \mathbf{z}  1^{33}]$	yo <sup>21</sup> dzy <sup>55</sup>
Nusu (Bijiang)	ua <sup>33</sup>	va <sup>31</sup> 15 <sup>53</sup>	gu <sup>55</sup> dza <sup>33</sup>

	2.51	Loloish	sets	with	the	*-am	rhyme
--	------	---------	------	------	-----	------	-------

	EAR/SPIKE/PANICLE of GRAIN <sup>15</sup> *s- nam <sup>1</sup>	DARE <sup>16</sup> *wam <sup>3</sup>
Lahu (Black)	լծա	
Yi Xide	ņi <sup>33</sup>	~ ~ ~
Yi Nanjian	ny <sup>55</sup>	

. .

16 This etymon is sparsely attested in Loloish.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Lahu here has initial  $\gamma$ -, instead of the usual  $\nu$ -reflex of \*w-, since Lahu does not tolerate syllables of the shape vo. Several Lahu words (including some loans from Burmese) show alternation between  $\gamma$ - and  $\nu$ . See Matisoff 1973:9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> There is a separate root PLB "Nwik 'stomach' that may underlie the Xide, Lisu, Weishan, and Sani forms for 'belly'. See Matisoff 1972 (TSR) #176.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Note the deaffrication of the initial, as in Mpi (see Matisoff 1978). But 'hair' in Dafang is affricated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The former form is from ZMYYC, the latter from TBL. Both are varieties of the Lisu of Fugong District, Nujiang County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> This is an excellent etymon that must be set up at the PTB level, though it is sparsely attested in Loloish. Cf. Proto-Tamang-Gurung-Thakali-Manang \*\*ham (Mazaudon 1996).

Yi Nanhua	nua <sup>33</sup>	
Yi Mile (Axi)		
Yi Mojiang	ne <sup>55</sup>	
Yi Dafang	ntu <sup>33</sup>	
Lisu	e <sup>55</sup> ni <sup>33</sup> , e <sup>55</sup> ne <sup>33</sup>	
Naxi Lijiang«		
Naxi Yongning	դա <sup>31</sup>	
Hani Biyue	$3^{31}$ <b>ne<sup>35</sup></b>	
Hani Dazhai (Luchun)	a <sup>55</sup> no <sup>55</sup>	
Hani Shuikui (Mojiang)	t∫h€ <sup>55</sup> ny <sup>55</sup>	
Akha		
Jinuo	ko <sup>33</sup> ne <sup>44</sup>	
Gazhuo	tshe <sup>33</sup> ne <sup>24</sup>	
Yi Sani	mv <sup>44</sup>	
Yi Wuding	ne <sup>33</sup>	
Yi Weishan	<b>дпу<sup>55</sup> / ?у</b> <sup>55</sup>	
Nusu (Bijiang)	'na <sup>33</sup>	va <sup>31</sup>

	FATHOM *s-lam <sup>1</sup>	FENCE/GARDEN *kram <sup>1</sup>	FLY (v.) *byam <sup>1</sup>
Lahu (Black)	ho	kho	plo
Yi Xide	li <sup>33</sup>	жо <sup>33</sup> gu <sup>44</sup>	dzi <sup>33</sup>
Yi Nanjian		tchy <sup>55</sup>	by <sup>55</sup>
Yi Nanhua	ku <sup>33</sup>		duu <sup>33</sup> (also biu <sup>33</sup> )
Yi Mile (Axi)	łw <sup>33</sup>	gur <sup>55</sup> khur <sup>33</sup>	ti <sup>33</sup>
Yi Mojiang	le <sup>21</sup>	$go^{21}$ tsho <sup>21</sup>	be <sup>21</sup>
Yi Dafang	lu1 <sup>21</sup>		d1 <sup>21</sup>
Lisu			d3e <sup>33</sup> (also bi <sup>33</sup> )
Naxi Lijiang	ly <sup>31</sup>	xo <sup>31</sup> phe <sup>55</sup> kho <sup>31</sup>	mbi <sup>31</sup>
Naxi Yongning		tsha <sup>33</sup> khua <sup>13</sup>	dze <sup>13</sup>
Hani Biyue	le <sup>55</sup>	ja 55 khe55	pe <sup>55</sup>
Hani Dazhai (Luchun)	b <sup>35</sup>		bjo <sup>55</sup>
Hani Shuikui (Mojiang)	lu <sup>55</sup>	xo <sup>55</sup> khu <sup>55</sup>	pu <sup>55</sup>
Akha	lm	<b>km</b> č cehč	
Jinuo	<b>t</b> ε <sup>33</sup>	a <sup>33</sup> ke <sup>33</sup> khe <sup>33</sup>	Ъкε <sub>33</sub>
Gazhuo	lε <sup>24</sup>		phv <sup>31</sup>
Yi Sani	ly <sup>33</sup>	khuu <sup>33</sup> 2 <sup>33</sup>	tł1 <sup>33</sup>
Yi Wuding	le <sup>11</sup>		de11
Yi Weishan		chy <sup>55</sup>	by <sup>55</sup>
Nusu (Bijiang)	la <sup>33</sup>		bia <sup>33</sup>

	HAIR (head) *tsam <sup>1</sup>	IRON *syam <sup>1</sup>	ОТТЕ *s-/p-y; < РТВ	
Lahu (Black)	[c <del>í-</del> khɛ-mu] <sup>18</sup>	šo	ġį₊-šo-	ю
Yi Xide		şw <sup>33</sup> du <sup>33</sup>	80 <sup>33</sup>	
Yi Nanjian	u <sup>21</sup> t¢hy <sup>55</sup>	xy <sup>55</sup>		
Yi Nanhua	u <sup>55</sup> tsh w <sup>33</sup>	xur <sup>33</sup>	zi <sup>21</sup> şi <sup>3</sup>	3
Yi Mile (Axi)	o <sup>55</sup> tshi <sup>33</sup>	xur <sup>33</sup>	-	
Yi Mojiang	nu <sup>33</sup> tche <sup>21</sup>	çe <sup>21</sup>	zi <sup>21</sup> ce <sup>5</sup>	5
Yi Dafang	o <sup>33</sup> tshu <sup>33</sup>	xur <sup>21</sup>	zi <sup>21</sup> sj <sup>3</sup>	3
Lisu	0 <sup>56</sup> tshe <sup>44</sup>	X0 <sup>44</sup>		
Naxi Lijiang		ş u <sup>31</sup>	ş u <sup>31</sup>	
Naxi Yongning		şe <sup>33</sup>	ξuα <sup>33</sup>	
Hani Biyue	tshe <sup>55</sup> khy <sup>55</sup>	se <sup>55</sup>	x <sup>55</sup> se <sup>5</sup>	5
Hani Dazhai (Luchun)	tshe <sup>55</sup> kho <sup>55</sup>	so <sup>55</sup>	w <sup>55</sup> so <sup>5</sup>	55
Hani Shuikui (Mojiang)	tshe <sup>55</sup> khu <sup>55</sup>	∫u <sup>55</sup>	yw <sup>55</sup>	`u <sup>55</sup>
Akha		shmĭ	ui` shr	
Jinuo	tshe khuu <sup>33</sup>	çe <sup>42</sup>	<b>Ç</b> ε <sup>42</sup>	
Gazhuo		5E <sup>33</sup>		
Yi Sani	055 tshx33	xur <sup>33</sup>	² <sup>33</sup> s¥⁴	4
Yi Wuding		çe <sup>11</sup>	ji <sup>11</sup> se	
Yi Weishan	2y <sup>21</sup> tchy <sup>55</sup>	çy <sup>55</sup>	j	
Yi weisnan Nusu (Bijiang)	tsha <sup>33</sup>	¢) §a <sup>33</sup>	ŋa <sup>55</sup> dz	za <sup>55</sup>
11454 (25)14118)	ROAD	SESAM	E <sup>19</sup>	SMELL
	*lam <sup>2</sup> × <sup>3</sup>	*s- nam <sup>2</sup>		*nam <sup>1</sup> × $^2$ × $^3$
Lahu (Black)	[ <b>b</b> ' loc. prt. < * <sup>3</sup> ]	nī		ոլս
Yi Milli				ni <sup>21</sup>
Yi Naajian		·		ny <sup>21</sup>
Yi Nanhua				nurss
Yi Mile (Axi)				nur <sup>21</sup>
Yi Mojiang				nur <sup>21</sup>
Yi Dafang				bi <sup>55</sup> nu <sup>33</sup>
Lisu				t∫h j³¹nu³³
Naxi Lijiang				nv <sup>31</sup>
Naxi Yongning				bv <sup>33</sup> nv <sup>33</sup>
Hani Biyue				ne <sup>ss</sup>
Hani Dazhai (Luchun)				10 <sup>55</sup>
Akha		om 20		

<sup>17</sup> The first elements in all the compounds except Nustration WATER < PLB \*ray<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>18</sup> The first syllable (ci) of the Lahu form is from \*n-dzi-k, not \*tsam.

<sup>19</sup> Unfortunately this item is missing both from ZMYYC and TBL.

Jinuo	 	<b>ne<sup>42</sup> tj</b> e <sup>33</sup>
Gazhuo	 	ne <sup>31</sup>
Yi Sani	 	<b>ny</b> <sup>11</sup>
Yi Weishan	 	ny <sup>21</sup>
Nusu (Bijiang)	 	n3 <sup>33</sup>

# 2.52 BRIDGE and WEDGE

In most Loloish languages the reflexes for 'wedge' are very similar to those for 'bridge', except for tone and the manner and/or position of articulation of the initial affricate. These etyma have identical PLB reconstructions, except for tone and (I now believe) type of affricate:

	BRIDGE	WEDGE
	*n-dzam <sup>1</sup>	*n-džam <sup>2</sup>
Lahu (Black)	ભુ૦	jû
Yi Xide	dzi <sup>33</sup>	ndzo <sup>33</sup>
Yi Nanjian	γo <sup>21</sup> dzy <sup>55</sup>	dzy <sup>21</sup>
Yi Nanhua	dzu1 <sup>33</sup>	$c\underline{i}^{33} dz uz^{21}$
Yi Mile (Axi)	tsi <sup>33</sup>	dz i <sup>21</sup> bu <sup>33</sup>
Yi Mojiang	dzur <sup>21</sup> gur <sup>21</sup>	$c_{1}^{33} dz e^{33}$
Yi Dafang	<b>հ</b> ա <sup>33</sup> 21	
Lisu	kho <sup>31</sup> dze <sup>33</sup>	d30 <sup>31</sup> tfhe <sup>55</sup>
Naxi Lijiang	ndzo <sup>31</sup>	
Naxi Yongning	dzo <sup>33</sup>	
Hani Biyue	tse <sup>33</sup> kv <sup>31</sup>	
Hani Dazhai (Luchun)	b <sup>55</sup> dzɔ <sup>55</sup>	tsha <sup>31</sup> tshɔ <sup>31</sup>
Hani Shuikui (Mojiang)	$tc\epsilon^{33}$ ky <sup>31</sup>	tɔ <sup>31</sup> tʃhu <sup>31</sup> (ZMYYC); tɔ <sup>31</sup> tʃu <sup>31</sup> (TBL)
Akha	law dzm	
Jinuo	khra <sup>33</sup> tshe <sup>33</sup>	zε <sup>42</sup> (ZMYYC); zε <sup>31</sup> (TBL)
Gazhuo	tse <sup>33</sup>	s] <sup>35</sup> tsε <sup>31</sup>
Yi Sani	ts <b>v</b> <sup>33</sup>	sž <sup>44</sup> dz v <sup>11</sup>
Yi Wuding	ntshe <sup>11</sup>	ηtşhe <sup>33</sup>
Yi Weishan	γo <sup>21</sup> dzy <sup>55</sup>	bu <sup>21</sup> d <b>zy<sup>21</sup></b>
Nusu (Bijiang)	gu <sup>55</sup> dza <sup>33</sup>	tşa <sup>55</sup>

For some speculations as to a possible semantic interconnection between 'wedge' and 'bridge', see below.

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$  The tone here is irregular, pointing to a \*low-stopped provenience instead of \*2 (as elsewhere in LB).

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$  Note the deaffrication of the initial, as in Mpi (see JAM 1978). But 'hair' is affricated. Could there be a typo?

### 2.6 Lahu Reflexes of \*-am etyma

'bear'	[yլε-mí-tɔ̃]
'belly'	ġô-pje
'bridge'	ღი
'dare'	
'ear/spike (of grain)'	<b>լ⊳ո</b> ս
'fathom'	ho
ʻfly'	plo
'garden/fence'	kho
'hair' (of head)	
'iron'	šo
'otter'	ğ <b>ң-šo-l</b> o
'road'	[lo 'locative particle' $< *^3$ ]
'sesame'	nī
'smell'	ոլս
'wedge'	û

The most common Black Lahu reflex of \*-am is -o. However, the regular reflex of \*-am after n- is clearly -u, with three excellent examples ('sesame', 'smell', 'ear/spike of grain').<sup>22</sup> Furthermore, exactly paralleling 'wedge' is the word  $j\bar{u}-q\bar{o} \sim j\bar{o}-q\bar{o}$  'blacksmith's bellows' [DL 569, 574], with j- initial and variation between -o and -u. In fact there is considerable alternation between Black Lahu -o and -u (e.g. tro? 'burn' × tú 'set on fire', etc.; see GL, pp. 12-13). Even the ethnonym for Lahu is often written Ladhol (i.e. Lâhō) in China.

The rhyme of 'bear' is irregular, perhaps because of the preempted -w- (< PTB \*d-wam).

2.7	Reflexes	in other	Loloish	languages	(in	alphabetical	order)
-----	----------	----------	---------	-----------	-----	--------------	--------

Akha	ហ៊ា	'bear', 'bridge', 'fathom', 'garden/fence', 'iron', 'otter', 'sesame'
Gazhuo	Æ	<pre>'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fathom', 'iron', 'smell', 'wedge'</pre>
	¥	ʻfly'
Hani Biyue	-e	'bear', 'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fathom', 'fly', 'garden/fence', 'hair', 'iron', 'otter', 'smell'
Hani Dazhai (Liichun)	-0	'bear', 'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fathom', 'fly', 'iron', 'otter', 'smell', 'wedge'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> A fourth example is 'snot' (Lh.  $n\hat{u}$ ) from a stopped prototype \*s-nap, with the high-rising tone /'/ derived by dissimilation from a doubly glottalized pre-Lahu \*?-na? The usual Lahu reflex of \*-ap is -o?. See Matisoff 1972, p. 61.

Hani Shuikui	-u	'fly', 'ear/spike', 'garden/fence', 'iron', 'fathom',
(Mojiang)		'otter', 'wedge'
(	-y	'bear'
linua	•	
Jinuo	-£	'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fathom', 'fly', 'garden/fence',
		'hair', 'iron', 'otter', 'smell', 'wedge'
Lisu	-0	'bear', 'iron', 'wedge'
	-u	'smell'
	-e	'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fly', 'hair'
	-	Undge, car/spike, my, nan
Naxi Lijiang	-u	'iron', 'otter' 'bear', 'smell'
	- V	'bear', 'smell'
	-0	'bridge', 'garden/fence'
	- y	'fathom'
	[-uu	'wedge' ] <sup>23</sup>
	[-w	
Naxi Yongning	-0	'bridge'
	-e	'fly', 'iron'
	-un	'garden/fence', 'otter'
	- V	'smell'
	-u	'ear/spike'
Nusu (Bijiang)	-a	'bear', 'belly', 'bridge', 'dare', 'ear/spike', 'fathom',
		'fly', 'garden/fence', 'hair', 'iron', 'otter', 'wedge'
	-0	'smell'
Yi Dafang	чu	'bear', 'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fathom', 'hair', 'iron',
11 Dujung	····	'smell'
	- <b>O</b>	'belly'
	-1	'fly', 'otter'
Yi Mile (Axi)	-i	'bridge', 'fly', 'hair', 'wedge'
	-ui	'fathom', 'garden/fence', 'iron', 'smell'
Yi Mojiang	-e	'ear/spike', 'fathom', 'fly', 'hair', 'iron', 'otter',
11 mojiang	$\sim$	(modes)
		'wedge'
	-w	'bridge', 'smell'
	-0	'garden/fence'
Yi Nanhua	-tu	'bear', 'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fathom', 'fly', 'hair', 'iron', 'smell', 'wedge'
		'iron', 'smell', 'wedge'
	-i	'otter'
Vi Manilan		
Yi Nanjian	-у	'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fly', 'garden/fence', 'hair',
		'iron'
Yi Sani	¥	'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'hair', 'fathom', 'otter', 'smell',
		'wedge'
	-w	'bear', 'iron', 'garden/fence'
	-I	'fly'
Yi Weishan		
11 Weisnan	-у	'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fly', 'garden/fence', 'hair',
		'iron', 'wedge'
Yi Wuding	-e	'bear', 'ear/spike', 'bridge', 'fathom', 'fly', 'iron',
		'bear', 'ear/spike', 'bridge', 'fathom', 'fly', 'iron', 'otter', <b>'wedge'</b>
Yi Xide	-0	'bear', 'garden/fence', 'otter', 'wedge'
	-i	'bear', 'garden/fence', 'otter', <b>'wedge'</b> 'belly', 'bridge', 'ear/spike', 'fathom', 'fly', 'smell'
	-	'iron'
	·w	non

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> One might think this form for 'wedge' comes from \*sap [see 4.2 below], but two forms from \*-am in Naxi Yongning have the same reflex.

Eleven languages/dialects have quite regular phonological developments here. Seven others (Lisu, Naxi Lijiang, Naxi Yongning, Yi Mile, Yi Mojiang, Yi Sani, Yi Xide) have some unexplained phonological developments of the \*-am rhyme. As adumbrated above, there are no fewer than 15 Loloish reflexes of this rhyme, sprawled all over vocalic space:



#### 3.0 ETYMOLOGICAL POSSIBILITIES FOR PUMI tsó 'WEDGE'

We are on much shakier ground when trying to deal with Qiangic words for 'wedge'. Forms for 'wedge' have been recorded for at least five dialects of Pumi:

Pumi (D <sub>l</sub> ayáng)	tsó [ts <sup>w</sup> 0 <sup>55</sup> ]	JAM fieldnotes
Pumi (Jīnghuá)	tso <sup>55</sup>	ZMYYC, p. 783
Pumi (Jiulóng)	tso <sup>35</sup>	TBL, p. 207
Pumi (Lánpíng)	si ẽ <sup>13</sup> dzə <sup>55</sup>	TBL, ibid.
Pumi (Tăobā)	sẽ <sup>35</sup> kuei <sup>53</sup>	ZMYYC, ibid.

The latter two are obvious loans from Chinese, the Lanping form apparently from the SW Mandarin pronunciation of xiēzi 'wedge', and the Taoba form perhaps from a compound like Mand. xiē-guī 楔規 'wedge gauge'.<sup>24</sup> It is the other, presumably native word (e.g. Dayang ts ô) that is of particular interest in connection with the Lolo-Burmese forms just discussed:

Taking what one might call the "bottom-up" approach, one could look at other Dayang words with the **-o** rhyme and see where they come from Here too, however, the situation is not clear, with at least four attested velar-rhyme proveniences:<sup>25</sup>

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  It is not clear why the first syllables of the Lanping and Taoba words have nasalized vowels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Note that the three examples of \*-ak > Pumi -o are all etyma with -r- or -l- in the initial cluster, and -- apparently more importantly -- are all in the high tone (symbolized by the acute

*-ak	'chicken'	PTB *k-rak	(STC p.88; TSR #184)	Dayang ró
	'boil/cook'	PTB *s-klak	(STC #124; TSR #61)	Dayang πó, χφ
	'rat'	PTB <b>*k-r-wak</b>	(STC p.107; TSR #188)	Dayang wó
*-dk	'year'	РГВ <b>*kok</b>	(TSR #34)	Dayang <b>k</b> ó
	'back'	PTB <b>*s-nok/ŋ</b>	(STC #354; TSR #155)	Dayang nŏ
*-onj	'tiger'	PTB *s-rag	(STC p. 107)	Dayang wǒ
	'peacock/	PTB <b>*m-doŋ</b>	(STC #341)	Dayang qio do
	pheasant'			
*-aŋ	'mountain'	PTB *s-ganj	(DL, p. 299)	Dayang gŏ

But can Pumi Dayang tsó be related to PLB  $*N-d\tilde{z}am^2$ ? Several other Qiangic languages have words for 'wedge' that are phonologically similar to the Pumi and LB forms, e.g. Namuyi  $so^{35} / suo^{35}$ , Lusu ndze<sup>35</sup>, Queyu ts $s^{53}$ , etc. Are these relatable to Pumi tsó and/or to our LB etymon  $*N-d\tilde{z}am^2$ ?

In order to decide these questions, we will have to figure out what the regular reflexes of the PTB \*-am rhyme are in Pumi and the other Qiangic languages.

#### 3.1 Qiangic reflexes of exemplary PTB \*-am etyma

Data on the following Qiangic languages and dialects are available:26

РТ	Pumi (Taoba)	ZMYYC #10
PJH	Pumi (Jinghua)	ZMYYC #11
PJL	Pumi (Jiulong)	TBL #1
PLP	Pumi (Lanping)	TBL #9
PD	Pumi (Dayang)	JAM fieldnotes
QM	Qiang (Mawo)	ZMYYC #8
QT	Qiang (Taoping)	ZMYYC #9
QA	Qiang (Mao, Aba Prefecture)	TBL #8
DCD		
RGB	rGyalrong (Benzhen)	Jackson Sun fieldnotes
RGC	rGyalrong (Caodeng)	Jackson Sun fieldnotes
RGS	rGyalrong (Suomo)	ZMYYC #12
RGM	rGyalrong (Maerkang)	TBL #11

accent). The most frequent Dayang reflex of \*ak seems to be - p, with at least nine examples, all of them under the low tone (symbolized by a wedge): 'ashamed' \*g-yak & \*s-rak > PD ftflib; 'bowl/cup' \*kwak > PD qhwö, 'dirty' \*tšak > PD tfö; 'drop' \*N-dzak > PD sthö; 'hand' \*g-lak > PD z,č; 'leaf' \*r-pak > PD φpö; 'lick' \*m-lyak > PD dč, 'pig' \*p-wak > PD ptfhč; 'weave' \*t(r)ak > PD tsŏ.

<sup>26</sup> The crosshatched numbers in this list refer to the position of the particular language among all those cited in the sources, e.g. "ZMYYC #10" means that Pumi Taoba is the tenth out of the 52 languages cited in the synonym sets of ZMYYC; "TBL #9" means that Pumi Lanping is the ninth out of the 50 languages cited in the sets of TBL, etc.

	DF EG	Daofu ( Ergong	=Horpa = Sta	u)	TBL #12 ZMYYC			
	MYS MYG		Kangding, Sh Kangding, Ga		ZMYYC TBL #15			
	QYY QYX	· ·	Queyu (Yajiang) [ "Zhábā" ] Queyu (Xinlong)			#16		
	ZB	Zhábya			TBL #14			
	GQY GQG		g (Kangding g (Kangding		) ZMYYC TBL #16			
	ES	Ersu			ZMYYC	#18		
	LS	Lüsu			TBL #18	TBL #18		
	NML NMM	Namuyi Namuyi	Muli Luobo Muli		ZMYYC TBL #40			
	SXS <sup>27</sup> SXM		(Shuiho len (Muli, Liang		ZMYYC TBL #17			
	R (ZMYYC				d/g-wam		(A.F.	
PT	guẽ <sup>55</sup>	PJH	uõ <sup>55</sup>	PJL	nuẽ <sup>35</sup>	PD	wéN	
RGM		RGB	tə-wam?	RGC	pre? tom	DF	dam	
EG	WO	MYS	$2e^{35}we^{55}$ 29 $n_i^{55}v_i^{55}$	MYG	re <sup>33</sup> we <sup>55</sup> ε <sup>33</sup> ngui <sup>53</sup>	QYY GQG	<b>wua<sup>35</sup></b> ä <sup>31</sup> gui <sup>55</sup>	
QYX NM	wer <sup>13</sup> vu <sup>55</sup>	ZB SXS	gi <sup>55</sup>	GQY SXM	gi <sup>35</sup>	UQU	a gur	
<b>BELI</b> DF QYY	vo E	G ve	TBL #96 u 1 <sup>55</sup> / rvu <sup>55</sup>	<b>PTB *p</b> MYS ZB	-wam vu <sup>35</sup> lø <sup>53</sup> vei <sup>13</sup>	MYG	βə <sup>33</sup> lø <sup>53</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> These two Shixing dialects are virtually identical.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Here the crosshatched numbers refer to the position of the participant synonym set among the 1004 presented in ZMYYC and the 1822 sets of TBL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> For the first syllable of MY  $ze^{3}$  we<sup>3</sup> see the first syllable of Lahu  $y_{11}e$ -mí-tō.

BRIDGE (ZMYYC #477; TBL #70					n-dzam	·dzam			
РТ	dzã <sup>35</sup>	PJH	dziãu <sup>13</sup>	PJL	dzã <sup>35</sup>	PD	dzŏuN		
QM	tshi	QT	tshie <sup>33</sup> da <sup>241</sup>	QA	tshua	RGS	ta <b>ndzam</b>		
RGM	ta <b>ndzam</b>	RGB	te -ndzem	RGC	ndzem	DF	dzo		
EG	dzo	MYS	ndzo <sup>35</sup>	MYG	ndzo <sup>24</sup>	QYY	dzã <sup>35</sup>		
QYX	tso <sup>55</sup>	ZB	ptsI 55	GQY	zõ <sup>33</sup> pũ <sup>53</sup>	GQG	za p#55		
ES	dzi 55	LS	dze <sup>35</sup>	NM	dz055	SXS/SXM	zē <sup>55</sup>		

Note that in 'bridge', -o is indeed the reflex of \*-am for several of these languages (Daofu, Ergong, Muya, Queyu Xinlong, Namuyi) - but not for Pumi!

DARE (ZMYYC #731; TBL #1335)				PQiang			
РТ	wã <sup>55</sup>	PJH	<b>nu</b> ci <sup>55</sup>	PJL	nua <sup>55</sup>	PD	nóN
RGS	kha nos	RGM	ka nos	RGB	ka-no?s	RGB	kæ/næ-nos
DF	ZNƏ	EG	STRUTAL	MYS	me <sup>55</sup>	MYG	nø <sup>53</sup>
QYY	<b>'ш</b> <sup>53</sup>	ZB	n^ <sup>13</sup>	GQY	ji <sup>55</sup> n, yi <sup>35</sup>	GQG	n,y <sup>35</sup>
ES	n,0 <sup>55</sup>	LS	şu <sup>53</sup> 31	NM	ŋа <sup>33</sup>	SXS	fi 5 <sup>38</sup>
SXM	hõ <sup>33</sup>						

The rGyalrong forms may not be cognate: why final - s instead of -m?

OYY

EAR/SP	IKE of GRAIN	(ZMYYC	C#229; TBL#407)	PTB *s-	nam		
RGB	kho-Jnem	RGC	k⊃-∫nəm?	QYX	cnon <sup>13</sup>		
РТ	"ni <sup>53</sup>	PJH	' niə <sup>55</sup>	PLP	'niə <sup>55</sup>	PJL	'nē <sup>55</sup>
EG	sno-z_me	ES	ndzo <sup>33</sup> ndzo <sup>55</sup>				

There is another root \*s-nye (cf. WT snye-ma  $\times$  snyi-ma), which may underlie the following syllables:

sa<sup>35</sup> 'n.e<sup>53</sup> ZB ne<sup>33</sup> dzi<sup>55</sup> SXM hã<sup>53</sup> n.j<sup>33</sup> SXS hi<sup>55</sup> nui<sup>33</sup>

<b>V</b> 11	ea ibe		ne up	0/11/1	121 161	0210	
FATHO	M (ZMYY	C #959;	TBL #899)	PTB *la	m (perhaps	> PQiar	ngic <b>*g-lam</b> )
РТ	tə <sup>35</sup> jî <sup>55</sup>	РЈН	tə <sup>55</sup> iẽ <sup>55</sup>	PJL	tə <sup>55</sup> iẽ <sup>55</sup>	PD	yıN
QM	ZX	QT	<b>zi</b> <sup>241</sup>	QA	ε Ζε	RGS	tə koçam
RGM	kcçam	DF	a cho	EG	<b>g</b> ζεl (?	< gz.e-1)	
MYS	te <sup>35</sup> de <sup>35</sup>	MYG	te de <sup>53</sup>	QYY	tə <sup>35</sup> lõ <sup>55</sup>	QYX	tw <sup>55</sup> lu <sup>55</sup>
ZB	te <sup>55</sup> li <sup>55</sup>	GQY	tu <sup>33</sup> xõ <sup>53</sup>	GQG	ta <sup>31</sup> hõ <sup>55</sup>	ES	lio <sup>55</sup>
LS	te <sup>55</sup> liu <sup>53</sup>	NML	ły <sup>55</sup>	NMM	łu <sup>33</sup>	SXS	ji <sup>55</sup>
SXM	dzi <sup>33</sup> jẽ <sup>55</sup>						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> This etymon is reconstructed as PTB \*hwam in STC #216, on the basis of forms from Lushai, Jingpho, and WB. The root is also represented by Proto-Tamang \*wam 'coax' < PTamangic \*hnam (tone A). See note 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Perhaps with preemption by the outer, sibilant prefix (i.e. < \*s-wam).

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	FLY/	RUN <sup>32</sup> (Z	MYYC #	#782; TBL	#1318)	PTB *I	byam > PQ	iangic	*N-byam				
QuestionQuestionQuestionQuestionQuestionQuestionRGBka-nbjamRGCka-qe-lnbjamDFbjoEGbzo kaMYSndzyce <sup>8</sup> MYGthi <sup>33</sup> ndzue <sup>85</sup> QYYta <sup>35</sup> de <sup>55</sup> QYXrde <sup>13</sup> ZBb <sup>55</sup> mdzl <sup>35</sup> GQYphu <sup>45</sup> GQGphu <sup>31</sup> LSbze <sup>35</sup> SXSbu <sup>33</sup> zi <sup>55</sup> SXMdzē <sup>55</sup> NMmi <sup>33</sup> ndzu <sup>35</sup> ndzu <sup>45</sup> GARDEN(ZMYYC #366; TBL #522)PTB *kramPTkho <sup>67</sup> rc <sup>53</sup> PJHn,a <sup>63</sup> qhe <sup>43</sup> PJLgi <sup>11</sup> ta <sup>55</sup> PDthia <sup>33</sup> QMkuz (< ku-z)	PT	kha35 bế35	PJH	khə <sup>13</sup> bzē <sup>13</sup>		РЛL	b3ĩ²13	PD	b(d)3 <b>iN</b>				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	QM	gzi	QT	dze <sup>241</sup>		RGS	ka bjam	RGN	vi ka bjarn				
ZB       to 55 mdz155       GQY       phu <sup>36</sup> GQG       phu <sup>31</sup> LS       bze <sup>35</sup> SXS       bu <sup>33</sup> zi <sup>35</sup> SXM       dzē <sup>35</sup> NMM       mi <sup>33</sup> ndzu <sup>35</sup> bze <sup>35</sup> GARDEN       (ZMYYC #366; TBL #522)       PTB *kram       PTL       gi <sup>11</sup> ts <sub>1</sub> <sup>55</sup> PD       this <sup>33</sup> QM       kuz (< ku-z)	RGB	ka-nbjam	RGC	ke-qe-Inbjən	ณ์	DF	bjo	EG	•				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MYS	ndzye <sup>35</sup>	MYG	thi33 ndzue55		QYY	ta <sup>35</sup> de <sup>55</sup>						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ZB	tə <sup>55</sup> mdzI <sup>55</sup>	GQY	+		GQG	•						
PTkho <sup>5</sup> re <sup>33</sup> PJHn,a <sup>13</sup> qhe <sup>13</sup> PJLgi <sup>11</sup> ts <sub>1</sub> s <sub>1</sub> s <sup>55</sup> PDthš <sup>33</sup> QMkuz (< ku-z)	SXS	SXS bu <sup>33</sup> $z \tilde{i}^{35}$ SXM d $z \tilde{e}^{35}$ NMM mi <sup>33</sup> nd $z u^{35}$ nd $z u^{35}$											
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GAR	DEN (ZMY	YC #36	6: TBL #52	22)	P	TB *kram						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					,	PJ	ΓL gi <sup>11</sup> ι	sj <sup>55</sup> P	D [hě <sup>33</sup>				
FENCE (bamboo, twig) <sup>34</sup> (TBL #521)         DF       rjo       MYG       tşhæ <sup>53</sup> QYX       nt şho <sup>55</sup> LS       tşhm <sup>53</sup> dza <sup>53</sup> SXM qu <sup>35</sup> HAIR (ZMYYC #234; TBL #75)       PTB *tsam         ES       tsi <sup>35</sup> LS       tce <sup>31</sup> Most Qiangic words for 'hair' descend from other roots, e.g. *skra (STC #115), *ney (STC #292), *mul (STC #2).         IRON (ZMYYC #38; TBL #54)       PTB *syam         PT       cf <sup>35</sup> PJH       şõ <sup>35</sup> PJL       şõ <sup>85</sup> PD       ſīN         QM       su' nu       QT       ci <sup>355</sup> QA       su:' nu       RGS       fam         RGM       fcm       RGB       fam?       RGC       fam?       DF       tco         EG       tco       MYS       ce <sup>53</sup> MYG       ce <sup>53</sup> QYY       cã <sup>55</sup> QYX       co <sup>55</sup> ZB       ci <sup>55</sup> GQY       fɔ <sup>53</sup> GQG       fū <sup>3</sup> ES       şɛ <sup>55</sup> LS       şu <sup>53</sup> MYG       ce <sup>53</sup> QYY       cã <sup>55</sup> GYX       co <sup>55</sup> ZB       ci <sup>55</sup> GQY       fɔ <sup>55</sup> GQG       fū <sup>3</sup>	QM	kuz (< ku-z)	QT	tshie <sup>55</sup> kie	33	Q	Α tsε k	'u D	)F ska∘njo				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ZB	<b>x0<sup>55</sup> ji</b> <sup>55</sup>	NM	dze <sup>33</sup> ntsh	u <sup>55</sup> qhe <sup>155</sup>	S	XS hõ <sup>55</sup> j	1 <sup>53</sup>					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	FENO	CE (bambo	o, twig)	<sup>34</sup> (TBL)	#521)								
SXM $qu^{55}$ HAIR (ZMYYC #234; TBL #75)PTB *tsamEStsi^{55}ZB $gu^{33}$ tshi^{55}LStce^{31}Most Qiangic words for 'hair' descend from other roots, e.g. *skra (STC#115), *ney (STC #292), *mul (STC #2).IRON(ZMYYC #38; TBL #54)PTB *syamPT $c1^{55}$ PJH $g2^{55}$ PJL $g2^{65}$ QMsu' muQT $c1^{55}$ QAsu:' muRGSRGMfcmRGBfam?RGCfam?DFtcoEGtcoMYS $ce^{53}$ QYY $c2^{55}$ QYY $c2^{55}$ QYX $c0^{55}$ ZB $c1^{55}$ GQY $f5^{53}$ GQG $fa^{34}$ ES $g2^{55}$ LS $gu^{53}$ NM $gu^{59}$ SXS $g2^{35}$ OTTER (ZMYYC #133, TBL #317)PTB *sramPT $x1^{55}$ QA $yd2_{292}$ PT $x1^{55}$ PJH $skh2^{55}$ PJL $g2^{55}$ QM $yd2_{1}$ QT[tsu3^3ma^{31}n,y^{33}] $35$ QA $yd2_{292}$ RGS $tj$ framRGM $tj$ hsramRGBframRGCfam?DF $gsam$ EG $s2$ emMYS $d2y2^{35}$ MYG $d2x2^{24}$ QYY $s5^{39}$ QYX $gse^{55}$ ZB $t\Lambda^{35}g1^{33}$ GQY $w1^{55}27^{53}$ GQG $tjh^{55}$ ES $g1^{55}$					,	nt sh	10 <sup>55</sup> LS	tshu	11 <sup>53</sup> dz# <sup>53</sup>				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				L.		ť		·					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HAIR (ZMYYC #234: TBL #75) PTB *tsam												
Most Qiangic words for 'hair' descend from other roots, e.g. *skra (STC #115), *ney (STC #292), *mul (STC #2).         IRON (ZMYYC #38; TBL #54)       PTB *syam         PT $c_{1}^{25}$ PJH $g_{5}^{55}$ PJL $g_{6}^{25}$ PD $f_{1}^{5}$ N         QM su' mu QT $c_{1}^{55}$ QA su:' mu RGS fam       RGS fam         RGM fcm RGB fam?       RGC fam?       DF tco         EG tco MYS ce <sup>33</sup> MYG ce <sup>33</sup> QYY ca <sup>355</sup> QYX co <sup>55</sup> ZB ci <sup>55</sup> GQY f5 <sup>53</sup> GQG fa <sup>34</sup> ES ge <sup>55</sup> LS gus <sup>33</sup> NM gu <sup>50</sup> SXS ga <sup>35</sup> OTTER (ZMYYC #133, TBL #317)       PTB *sram       PT         PT xi <sup>35</sup> PJH skhē <sup>53</sup> PJL gē <sup>45</sup> QM ydzi         QT [tsuə <sup>33</sup> ma <sup>31</sup> n,y <sup>33</sup> ] <sup>35</sup> QA ydz <sup>36</sup> RGM tJfha sram         RGB fram       RGC fam?       DF gsam       EG sz em         MYS dzyg <sup>35</sup> MYG dzu <sup>24</sup> QYY sõ <sup>33</sup> QYX gss <sup>55</sup> ZB ta <sup>33</sup> gi <sup>33</sup> GQY wi <sup>35</sup> z <sub>1</sub> <sup>53</sup> GQG tfha <sup>555</sup> sã <sup>55</sup> ES g1 <sup>55</sup> ji <sup>55</sup> <sup>36</sup>													
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most Qiangic words for 'hair' descend from other roots, e.g. *skra (STC												
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most		vords fo	or 'hair' de					skra (STC				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most #115	), *ney (S	vords fo TC #29	or 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> (	STC #2	).	ner roots,		skra (STC				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most #115 IRON	), *ney (S N (ZMYY	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T	or 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( TBL #54)	STC #2 PT	). B *syai	mer roots,	e.g. *					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most #115 IRON PT	), *ney (S N (ZMYY ¢ī <sup>55</sup>	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ	or 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( TBL #54) H §ɔ̃ <sup>55</sup>	STC #2 РТ РЛ	). B *syai	ner 10018, m §ē <sup>55</sup>	e.g. * PD	мĭ∫				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most #115 IRON PT QM	), <b>*ney</b> (S N (ZMYY ¢î <sup>55</sup> su' mu	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q	or 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( FBL #54) H §ə <sup>55</sup> T çi <sup>55</sup>	STC #2 РТ РЛ QA	). B *syai	ner roots, m şē <sup>55</sup> su:' mu ∫amî	e.g. * PD RGS	∫ĭм ∫am				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most #115 IRON PT QM RGM	), *ney (S N (ZMYY çi <sup>55</sup> su'mu ∫am	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q RC	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( ΓBL #54) Η § <sup>555</sup> Γ ¢i <sup>55</sup> GB fam YS ¢ <sup>23</sup>	STC #2 PT PJI QA & RG MY	). B*syar A C	ner roots, m şē <sup>55</sup> su:' mu ∫amî	e.g. * PD RGS DF	∫ĭ́N ∫am tço çã <sup>55</sup>				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Most #115 IRON PT QM RGM EG	), <b>*ney</b> (S N (ZMYY ¢1 <sup>55</sup> su'mu ∫om tço ço <sup>55</sup>	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q RC M	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( ΓBL #54) Η § <sup>555</sup> Γ ¢i <sup>55</sup> GB fam YS ¢ <sup>23</sup>	STC #2 PT PJI QA & RG MY	). B *syai - - 	m §ē <sup>55</sup> su:' mi famî çe <sup>53</sup> J <sup>553</sup>	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY	∫ัท ∫am t¢o çã <sup>55</sup> 6 [G <sup>¶</sup>				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most #115 IRON PT QM RGM EG QYX	), <b>*ney</b> (S N (ZMYY ¢1 <sup>55</sup> su'mu ∫om tço ço <sup>55</sup>	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q Q R Q M ZH	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( TBL #54) Η ε <sup>555</sup> GB fam YS ce <sup>53</sup> 3 ci <sup>55</sup>	STC #2 PT PJI QA Q RG MY GQ	). B *syai A KC (G QY	m §ē <sup>55</sup> su:' mi famî çe <sup>53</sup> J <sup>553</sup>	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY GQC	∫ัท ∫am t¢o çã <sup>55</sup> 6 [G <sup>¶</sup>				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most #115 IROM PT QM RGM EG QYX ES	), *ney (S Ci <sup>55</sup> su' mu ∫om tço ço <sup>55</sup> ξε <sup>55</sup>	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q Q R Q M ZH	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( TBL #54) Η ε <sup>555</sup> GB fam YS ce <sup>53</sup> 3 ci <sup>55</sup>	STC #2 PT PJI QA Q RG MY GQ	). B *syai A KC (G QY	m §ē <sup>55</sup> su:' mi famî çe <sup>53</sup> J <sup>553</sup>	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY GQC	∫ัท ∫am t¢o çã <sup>55</sup> 6 [G <sup>¶</sup>				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Most #115 IROM PT QM RGM EG QYX ES SXM	), *ney (S N (ZMYY cf <sup>55</sup> su <sup>1</sup> mu ∫om t∞ co <sup>55</sup> 8€ <sup>55</sup> 8 <sup>°55</sup> 8 <sup>°55</sup>	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q Q R Q M ZI L S	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( TBL #54) H §5 <sup>35</sup> Γ ¢i <sup>55</sup> GB ∫am YS ¢ <sup>53</sup> β ¢i <sup>55</sup> δ §ur <sup>5</sup>	STC #2 PT PJI QA & RG MY GQ 3 NM	). B *syal C C (G Y Y 1	ner roois, m şē <sup>55</sup> su.' mi famî çe <sup>53</sup> j <del>5</del> <sup>53</sup> şu <sup>8</sup>	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY GQC	∫ัท ∫am t¢o çã <sup>55</sup> 6 [G <sup>¶</sup>				
MYS $dzy \underline{v}^{35}$ MYG $dzu \underline{v}^{24}$ QYY $s \overline{o}^{53}$ QYX $gs \varepsilon^{55}$ ZB $tA^{33}$ $gi^{33}$ GQY $wi^{55}z 1^{53}$ GQG $t f h a^{55}$ $g \overline{a}^{55}$ $ES$ $g1^{55}$ $36$	Most #115 IRON PT QM RGM EG QYX ES SXM OTT	), *ney (S ci <sup>55</sup> su <sup>1</sup> mu fam tço co <sup>55</sup> ξε <sup>55</sup> ξõ <sup>35</sup> ER (ZMYY	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q Q R Q M ZI L S	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( TBL #54) H §5 <sup>55</sup> T ¢i <sup>55</sup> GB Jam YS ¢ <sup>53</sup> B çi <sup>55</sup> S şur <sup>5</sup> TBL #317)	STC #2 PT PJI QA W RG MY GQ 3 NM	). B *syan C C C C C C C C C T T T T T T T T T T	ner roois, m şē <sup>55</sup> su. <sup>2</sup> mi fəmî çe <sup>53</sup> j <sup>553</sup> şu <sup>58</sup> sram	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY GQC SXS	∫ĭм ∫am tço çã <sup>\$5</sup> G ∫û <sup>8</sup> §ũ <sup>8</sup>				
ZB  the harmonia term is the second secon	Most #115 IRON PT QM RGM EG QYX ES SXM OTTI PT	), *ney (S N (ZMYY cf <sup>55</sup> su <sup>1</sup> mu ∫om tço ço <sup>55</sup> &€ <sup>55</sup> &õ <sup>35</sup> ER (ZMYY x <sup>155</sup>	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q' RC M ZF LS C #133,	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( TBL #54) H §5 <sup>55</sup> T ¢i <sup>55</sup> GB fam YS ¢ <sup>53</sup> B ¢i <sup>55</sup> S §ur <sup>5</sup> TBL #317) PJH	STC #2 PT PJI QA Q RG MY GQ 3 NM skhē <sup>55</sup>	). B *syan C C (G 2Y 4 PTB * PJL	ner roois, m se <sup>55</sup> su. <sup>1</sup> mi famî çe <sup>53</sup> j <sup>553</sup> şu <sup>5</sup> sram ş <del>ẽ</del> <sup>55</sup>	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY GQC SXS	∫ĭм Jam tço çã <sup>35</sup> G ∫õ <sup>a</sup> §ũ <sup>38</sup> Ydzįi				
	Most #115 IRON PT QM RGM EG QYX ES SXM OTTI PT QT	), *ney (S cf <sup>55</sup> su'mu fam tco co <sup>55</sup> & <sup>555</sup> & <sup>555</sup>	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q' RC M ZF LS C #133,	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( FBL #54) H § <sup>555</sup> GB fam YS ¢ <sup>53</sup> GB fam YS ¢ <sup>53</sup> GB fam TBL #317) PJH QA	STC #2 <b>PT</b> PJI QA Ω RG MY GQ 3 NN skhē <sup>55</sup> γdzæ	). B *syan C C (G YY 4 PTB * PJL RGS	ner roots, m §ē <sup>35</sup> su: <sup>1</sup> mu famî çe <sup>53</sup> j <sup>553</sup> şu <sup>38</sup> sram şē <sup>55</sup> tfə fram şsəm	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY GQC SXS QM RGM	JĭN Jam tço çã <sup>35</sup> G βα̃ <sup>35</sup> ξũ <sup>35</sup> Ydzi tJhə sram sz em				
LS §e <sup>25</sup> SXS §Ē <sup>55</sup> SXM §Ē <sup>55</sup>	Most #115 IRON PT QM RGM EG QYX ES SXM OTTH PT QT RGB	), *ney (S cf <sup>55</sup> su'mu ∫am tço ço <sup>55</sup> & <sup>55</sup> & <sup>53</sup> ER (ZMYY x <sup>155</sup> [tsuə <sup>33</sup> ma <sup>31</sup> ∫ram	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q' RC M ZF LS C #133,	r 'hair' de 2), <b>*mul</b> ( FBL #54) H § <sup>555</sup> GB fam YS ¢ <sup>53</sup> 3 ¢i <sup>55</sup> S §ur <sup>5</sup> TBL #317) PJH QA RGC	STC #2 PT PJI QA β RG MY GQ 3 NN skhē <sup>55</sup> γdzæ- ∫am <sup>2</sup>	). B *syan C C (G YY 4 PTB * PJL RGS DF	ner roots, m §ē <sup>35</sup> su: <sup>1</sup> mu famî çe <sup>53</sup> j <sup>553</sup> şu <sup>38</sup> sram şē <sup>55</sup> tfə fram şsəm	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY GQC SXS QM RGM EG	JĭN Jam tço çã <sup>35</sup> ζũ <sup>35</sup> ξũ <sup>35</sup> Ydzi tJhə sram sz em şsε <sup>55</sup>				
	Most #115 IRON PT QM RGM EG QYX ES SXM OTTH PT QT RGB MYS	), *ney (S N (ZMYY ci <sup>55</sup> su'mu fom tco co <sup>55</sup> ε <sup>55</sup> ε <sup>55</sup> ε <sup>55</sup> ER (ZMYY xi <sup>55</sup> [Isuə <sup>33</sup> ma <sup>31</sup> fram dzyg <sup>35</sup> to <sup>33</sup> εi <sup>33</sup>	vords fo TC #29 C #38; T PJ Q' RC M ZF LS C #133,	TBL #317) PJH QA RGC MYS C C C C C C C C C C C C C	STC #2 PT PJI QA β RG MY GQ 3 NN skhē <sup>55</sup> γdzæ- ∫ænî dzu <sup>22</sup> wi <sup>55</sup> z1 <sup>53</sup>	). B *syar C C C G Y A PTB * PJL RGS DF QYY GQG	ner roots, m $\tilde{s}^{\tilde{s}^{35}}$ su:' mi $\int ami \tilde{s}^{\tilde{s}^{33}}\tilde{s}^{\tilde{s}^{33}}\tilde{s}^{\tilde{s}^{33}}\tilde{s}^{\tilde{s}^{33}}\tilde{s}^{\tilde{s}^{33}}\tilde{s}^{\tilde{s}^{33}}t[h_{\tilde{s}}^{55} s \tilde{s}^{\tilde{s}^{55}}]$	e.g. * PD RGS DF QYY GQC SXS QM RGM EG QYX	JĭN Jam tço çã <sup>35</sup> ζũ <sup>35</sup> ξũ <sup>35</sup> Ydzi tJhə sram sz em şsε <sup>55</sup>				

<sup>32</sup> This root often means 'run' in Qiangic.

<sup>34</sup> This is the same etymon as 'garden'.

<sup>35</sup> This compound means literally "water-cat" (p. c., Jonathan P. Evans).

<sup>36</sup> Judging from the Lusu and Shixing forms, it is the first syllable of this compound which is the cognate; but it is apparently the Guiqiong second syllables which are cognate.

152

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> The initial reflex here is quite regular (see Matisoff 1996 for many parallel examples); but the rhyme is irregular with respect to all the other **\*-am** reflexes in Dayang.

SMEI	LL v. (ZMYYC #	ŧ548; 1	FBL #1707)	PTB *	*s-nam		
PT	xə <sup>35</sup> n.õ <sup>35</sup>	PJH	Xə <sup>13</sup> <b>กูเ่อ<sup>55</sup></b>	РЛL	xə <sup>13</sup> niə <sup>55</sup>	PD	méN
RGC	ke-ne-mnəm?	DF	m	EG	snut ro	MYS	khui <sup>55</sup> nui <sup>53</sup>
MYG	khi <sup>33</sup> sø <sup>55</sup> næ <sup>33</sup>	QYY	tə <sup>35</sup> lu <sup>55</sup> nü <sup>55</sup>	QYX	ş noŋ13	ZB	ŋ^33 mnI55 mnI33
SXS	by <sup>55</sup> ' m <sup>55</sup>	SXM	hũ <sup>55</sup> nu <sup>55</sup>				

The first syllable  $h\tilde{v}^{5}$  of the SXM form seems to indicate that a number of other syllables in **h** - belong to a different etymon than \*s-nam:

GQY	xũ <sup>55</sup> xũ <sup>33</sup>	GQG	ji <sup>35</sup> hõ <sup>55</sup>	ES	hi <sup>55</sup> hi <sup>55</sup>	LS	te <sup>53</sup> hữi <sup>53</sup> hữi <sup>31</sup>
NM	hi <sup>33</sup> hi <sup>55</sup>						
WHI	TE (ZMYYC #8	840; TBL	. #1006)	PQiar	ngic *prai	n <sup>37</sup>	
PT	phz ã <sup>55</sup> mə <sup>53</sup>	PJH	phz ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	PLP	ph§ə̃ <sup>55</sup>	PJL	<b>phz i<sup>55</sup> lø</b> <sup>55</sup> lø <sup>11</sup>
PD	phşéN	QM	phi	QT	phz i <sup>55</sup>	QA	ph.11.5 (< ph.1-5)
RGS	kə pram	RGM	kə prom	RGB	kə-pram	RGC	kə- yrəm?
ZB	ptşhi <sup>55</sup> ptşhi <sup>55</sup>						

The following forms look as if they descend from a distinct etymon, PTB \*plu (STC pp. 60-1):

 DF
 phru phru
 EG
 phsu phşu
 MYS tşhø<sup>55</sup> tşhø<sup>33</sup>
 MYG tşhø<sup>53</sup> tşhø<sup>33</sup>

 QYY tşhõ<sup>55</sup> tşhõ<sup>55</sup>
 QYX ptşho<sup>55</sup> ptşho<sup>33</sup>
 GQY şõ<sup>55</sup> ma<sup>55</sup>
 GQG şõ<sup>55</sup> ma<sup>55</sup>

 NM
 phu<sup>55</sup>
 SXS phu<sup>33</sup>
 SXM phu<sup>33</sup> tçi<sup>35</sup> tşhö<sup>55</sup>
 SXM phu<sup>33</sup> tçi<sup>55</sup>

# 3.2 Pumi reflexes of exemplary PTB \*-am sets

A quick look at the Pumi reflexes of these etyma from PTB **\*-am** makes it clear that Pumi **tso** cannot possibly be related to PLB **\*N-džam**<sup>2</sup>, thus answering in the negative the question posed above in 3.0 (a):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> This root has not been discovered in Lolo-Burmese.

PT (Taoba); PJH (Jinghua); PJL (Jiulong); PLP (Lanping); PD (Dayang) <b>'bear'</b> PTB <b>*d/g-wam</b>						(Dayang)	
<b>'bear'</b> PT	giế <sup>35</sup>	PJH	0	ann PJL ŋı	uĩ <sup>35</sup>	PD	wéN
<b>ʻbridge'</b> PT	dzã <sup>35</sup>	РЈН	PTB <b>*n-dza</b> dziãu <sup>13</sup>	m PJL dz	zã <sup>35</sup>	PD	dzŏuN
<b>'dare'</b> PT	wã <sup>55</sup>	PJH	PQiangic <b>*s</b> max <sup>55</sup>	<b>·n-wam</b> PJL <b>n</b>		PD	nóN
<b>'draw w</b> ate PJL			PTB <b>*kam</b> ≯	∢*kap <sup>3</sup>	38		
<b>'ear/spike</b> o PT	of grain' n <sup>i 53</sup>	PJH	PTB *s-nam niə <sup>55</sup>	ı PLP şi	iə <sup>55</sup>	PJL	ņẽ <sup>55</sup>
<b>'fathom'</b> PT	tə <sup>35</sup> ji <sup>55</sup>	PJH	PTB *lam tə <sup>55</sup> iẽ <sup>55</sup>		ps > PQia ə <sup>55</sup> iẽ <sup>55</sup>	ngic PD	*g-lam) yiN
<b>'fly/run'</b> ₽T	khə <sup>35</sup> bẽ <sup>35</sup>	PJH	PTB <b>* byam</b> khə <sup>13</sup> bʒε̃ <sup>13</sup>		ιζε̃ <sup>13</sup>	PD	b(d)3 <b>iN</b>
<b>ʻiron'</b> PT	¢1 <sup>55</sup>	PJH	PTB *syam §ə̃ <sup>55</sup>	PJL şõ	ē <sup>55</sup>	11)	мĭ∫
<b>'otter'</b> PT	xi <sup>55</sup>	РЈН	PTB <b>*sram</b> skhẽ <sup>55</sup>	PJL şî	ē <sup>55</sup>		
<b>'smell'</b> PT	xə <sup>35</sup> ¶õ <sup>35</sup>	РЈН	PTB *s-nan xə <sup>13</sup> niə <sup>55</sup>		tə <sup>13</sup> <b>ņiə⁵⁵</b>	PD	méN
<b>'white'</b> PT PD	phz ã <sup>55</sup> mə <sup>53</sup> phşéN	РЈН	PQiangic *p phz ३ <sup>55</sup>		<b>þ</b> ٩٤ਝّ	PJL	phz î <sup>55</sup> lø <sup>55</sup> lø <sup>11</sup>

As these sets show, almost all Pumi reflexes of \*-am etyma have nasalized vowels. In Dayang, the reflexes include -eN ('bear'; 'draw water'; 'white'), -iN ('fathom'; 'fly/run'; 'iron'), - $\epsilon$ N ('smell'), and -**ou**N ('bridge'). Dayang forms are lacking for 'ear/spike' and 'otter', but the Jiulong dialect has -eN (written - $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ) for both. The Dayang form for 'dare' has -rN, but that set is a bit problematical.

154

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> See STC #336 and n. 226; TSR #39. STC only sets up the allofam with final stop \*kap (underlying, e.g. WB khap); the variant \*kam is directly attested by forms like Lahu qho and Zaiwa kham<sup>31</sup>.

# 3.3 Other Qiangic words for WEDGE

Several other forms for 'wedge' in Qiangic languages bear a surface similarity to Pumi **tso**, but they must be individually scrutinized, since several etymological possibilities exist for each one of them. First let us just present them in an alphabetical list<sup>39</sup>:

Daofu	(DF)	zav
Ersu	(ES)	ndzi <sup>55</sup>
Guiqiong <sup>40</sup>	(GQG)	ze <sup>35</sup>
Lusu	(LS)	ndze <sup>35</sup>
Muya (=Minyak)	(MYS)	tsh ur <sup>33</sup> Zv <sup>55</sup>
Muya	(MYG)	tshə <sup>33</sup> Zv <sup>53</sup>
Namuyi Muli Luobo	(NML)	êno₂
Namuyi Muli	(NMM)	êo₂
Pumi (Lanping)	(PLP)	siẽ <sup>13</sup> dzə <sup>55</sup> 41
Qiang Aba	(QA)	qe se
Qiang (Taoping)	(QT)	sie <sup>33</sup> tçhy <sup>33</sup>
Queyu Yajiang (Zhábā)	(QYY)	tsə <sup>53</sup>
Queyu Xinlong	(QYX)	Şsa <sup>35</sup>
rGyalrong	(RGS; RGM))	te cçhə
rGyalrong Benzhen	(RGB)	te -t∫hə
rGyalrong Caodeng	(RGC)	te -mt∫ <sup>h</sup> i
Shixing	(SXS)	ξα <sup>55</sup>
Shixing	(SXM)	ξõ <sup>53</sup>
Zhábya	(ZB)	cçha <sup>13</sup>

Several of these forms bear a *primafacie* resemblance to PLB \*N-džam<sup>2</sup> (above), especially those with prenasalized initials (Ersu, Lusu, rGyalrong Caodeng):

Lusu ndze<sup>35</sup> 'wedge'

The same reflex -e occurs in Lusu 'bridge', 'fly', 'hair', 'otter':

Lusu dze <sup>35</sup>	'bridge'
Lusu bze <sup>35</sup>	ʻfly'
Lusu tçe <sup>31</sup>	'hair'
Lusu şe <sup>35</sup>	'otter'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Forms taken from ZMYYC #413 (p. 783) and TBL #620 (p. 207).

<sup>40</sup> The Guiqiong form cited in ZMYYC (GQY), ce<sup>33</sup> ts1<sup>33</sup>, is an obvious loan from Chinese.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Despite the nasalization of the first syllable, this form looks like a loan from Chinese.

Other Lusu reflexes of \*-am etyma include:  $\mathbf{w}$  ('iron', 'fence') and  $\mathbf{iu}$  ('fathom'). For another possible etymology of Lusu  $\mathbf{ndze}^{31}$ , see below.

Ersu ndzi<sup>55</sup> 'wedge'

The same reflex -i occurs in Ersu 'bridge':

Ersu dzi<sup>55</sup> 'bridge'

However, other Ersu reflexes of \*-am etyma include: 1 ('otter'), 0 ('ear/spike'), io ('fathom'), and  $\varepsilon$  ('iron').

The *rGyalrong* forms, despite the prenasalization in Caodeng, cannot be related to our PLB etymon, since \*-am is generally preserved as such in rGyalrong dialects.

The rhymes of the Namuyi forms are also consistent with an \*-am origin:

*Namuyi Muli Luobo* (NML) §0<sup>35</sup>, *Namuyi Muli* (NMM) §u0<sup>35</sup> 42 The same reflex - 0 occurs in Namuyi:

dzo55 'bridge'

Other Namuyi reflexes of **\*-am** etyma include: **u** ('iron', 'bear', 'garden'), and **-y** ('fathom').

The *Guiqiong* (GQG) form  $ze^{35}$  looks very much like Lusu **ndz** $e^{31}$ , that we have already assigned to \*N-dzam. However, Guiqiong does not have -e as the reflex of any other \*-ametymon. Rather, the unruly Guiqiong reflexes of \*-am include  $\tilde{3}$  ('bridge', 'fathom', 'iron'), 1 ('otter'), ui ('bear'), and u ('fly'). An alternative proposal for the origin of this Guiqiong form is given below.

The remaining forms for 'wedge' in our list (Muya, Qiang, Queyu, Shixing, Zhaba) similarly show no particular rhyme similarities to established **\*-am** etyma:

```
Muya (MYG) tshə<sup>33</sup> zg<sup>53</sup>, (MYS) tshui<sup>33</sup> zz<sup>55</sup>
The same MYS reflex -u occurs in only one *-am etymon:
Muya (MYS) khui<sup>55</sup> nui<sup>33</sup>.
Muya reflexes of *-am etyma include: -e ('bear', 'fathom', 'fly', 'iron'), -o ('bridge'), g / 2 ('otter').
```

156

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> The **-u**- in the NMM form may represent an allophonic labialization of the initial consonant before the vowel **-o**. As similar labialization occurs automatically in Pumi Dayang before **-o** (Matisoff 1996).

Qiang (QA) q $\epsilon$  s $\epsilon$ , (QT) sie<sup>33</sup> t $chy^{33}$  43, Qiang (QM) sa s $\epsilon$ 

The most frequent QM reflex of \*-am seems to be -i ('bridge', 'fly', 'otter', 'smell', 'white'). QT reflexes are all over the place, including -ie ('bridge',<sup>44</sup> 'garden'), -e ('fly'), -i ('iron'), -i ('white'). QA reflexes range from -ua ('bridge'), to -æ ('otter'), to -i ('white').

The QM and QA forms for 'iron' are transcribed with a rhotic offglide (written above the line in the sources): QM sur mu, QA sur mu. It is possible that these descend from PTB \*syirr  $\approx$  \*syarl (STC #372), but note that the QM word for 'wedge' (QM sa sor ) and the QA word for 'otter' (QA  $\gamma dz \approx$ ) show similar rhotacization. In the case of QM 'wedge' there is a possible explanation (see below).

Queyu Yajiang ["Zhábā"] (QYY) tso53, Queyu Xinlong (QYX) şsa35

QYY reflexes of \*-am etyma include -ua ('bear'), -ā ('bridge', 'iron'), -õ ('fathom', 'otter'), -ũ ('smell'), -e ('fly')

QYX reflexes of \*-am etyma include - $\varepsilon$  ('otter'), - $\varepsilon$ r ('bear') [again note the rhotacization], -o ('bridge', 'iron', 'fence/garden'), -u ('fathom'), -e ('fly')

Shixing (SXS) §ã55, (SXM) §õ53

SXS reflexes of \*-am etyma include -ĩ ('bear', 'fathom', 'fly'), -ẽ ('bridge'), -ẽ ('otter'), -ũ ('iron'), -ῦ ('smell'), -ῦ ('dare')

SXM reflexes of \*-am etyma include  $-\tilde{i}$  ('bear'),  $-\tilde{\epsilon}$  ('bridge', 'fathom', 'fly', 'otter'),  $-\tilde{o}$  ('iron', 'dare'), -u ('smell')

The irregularity of these reflexes makes it less impressive that the SXS reflexes of 'iron' and 'wedge' are the same, or that the SXM reflexes of 'iron', 'dare', and 'wedge' are all the same.

### Zhábja (ZB) cçhn13

Zhaba reflexes of \*-am etyma include -A ('bear', 'dare'), but also especially -I ('bridge', 'fly', 'smell', 'white'), and -I ('iron', 'fathom', 'otter').

In general, then, these Qiangic forms do not seem unequivocally relatable to our PLB root in \*-am. There are, however, several other possibilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> The first syllable of this form looks like a loan from Chinese (Mand. xiē).

# 4.0 TIBETAN AND BURMESE FORMS, AND THEIR POSSIBLE RELATIONSHIP TO QIANGIC ONES

# 4.1 Tibetan gzer × hdzer 'peg; wedge' and its possible congeners

Most of the Qiangic forms for 'wedge' we have cited bear a strong resemblance to forms from Tibetan dialects. Jäschke (pp. 495, 489) cites WT gzer  $\times$  zer 'nail; tack', which appears together with léags 'iron' and śiŋ 'wood' in compounds meaning 'iron nail' (léags-gzer) and 'wooden nail' (siŋ-gzer), the latter elsewhere glossed 'peg' (p. 559). This morpheme can also be used verbally (gzer-ba 'bore into, drive or knock into'), and has developed some interesting extensions of meaning as a noun, including 'mnemonic verse' (presumably intended to knock a text into one's head); 'ray, beam (e.g. of sunlight)', perhaps because of the elongated shape; and 'pain, ache' (maybe by association with sharp or pointed objects). TBL (p. 207) cites a Written Tibetan form ciŋ hdzer 'wedge' (not to be found in Jäschke),<sup>45</sup> with the *a-chung* prefix; this is confirmed by forms in several Tibetan dialects with prenasalized initials:<sup>46</sup>

Tibetan (Batang)	xhi <sup>35</sup> ndze <sup>55</sup>	TBL #620
Tibetan (Amdo:Zeku)	ndzer ma	ZN1 #413

This now raises the strong possibility that our best langic candidates for relationship with PLB \*n-džam, i.e. Lusu ndze<sup>35</sup> and Ersu ndzi<sup>55</sup> (above 3.3), are to be related instead to this Tibetan morpheme.

Other Tibetan dialect forms for 'wedge' include:

Tibetan (Lhasa)	cin <sup>55</sup> se <sup>15</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Tibetan (Lhasa)	cin <sup>55</sup> se:55	TBL #620
Tibetan (Khams:Dege)	chin <sup>55</sup> dze <sup>55</sup>	ZMYYC #413
Tibetan (Amdo:Bla-brang)	tchə	ZMYYC #413
Tibetan (Alike)	tçhə	TBL #620

The Monpa Tshona (Mama = Takpa) form  $cen^{55}zer^{13}$  (ZMYYC #413; TBL #620) also has the morphemic structure 'wood' + 'peg', and is obviously closely related to or borrowed from Tibetan.<sup>47</sup> The same may now be said for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> If it is the *first* syllable of QT sie<sup>33</sup> tchy<sup>33</sup> that is being compared, its rhyme -ie would agree with 'bridge' and 'garden'.

<sup>45</sup> ZMYYC #413 has ciŋ gzer 'wedge'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> For the connection between *a-chung* and prenasalization, see e.g. Matisoff 1975. It is possible that this nasal prefix arose secondarily in the compound for 'wedge' by assimilation to the final of the first syllable  $\sin$  'wood'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Other Tshona (= Cuona) forms reflect a distinct etymon \*sap found also in Burmese (see below 4.2).

the Muya forms: (MYS) ts hu<sup>33</sup> ze<sup>55</sup>, (MYG) ts ho<sup>33</sup> ze<sup>53</sup> (cf. Muya ts ho<sup>35</sup>  $rv^{53}$  'wood' TBL #511). Pumi Lanping (PLP)  $g\bar{\sigma}^{55}$  ze<sup>55</sup> 'nail' contains the same second element, though as the gloss implies, the first syllable  $g\bar{\sigma}^{55}$  means 'iron', not 'wood'.<sup>48</sup>

Also possibly related to the Tibetan forms is Lepcha ză 'a wedge (for placing in eye of hatchet etc. to render tight the handle, or for splitting wood', **ku nză** 'wooden wedge', z**ă kyóp** 'fix in a wedge' (Mainwaring 1898:313-4).

Several other Qiangic languages have forms for 'wedge' very similar to those of Amdo Tibetan, including rGyalrong (RGS; RGM) te cçhə<sup>49</sup>, (RGB) te-tfhə, (RGC) te-mtf<sup>h</sup>i (with prenasalization); Zhábıa (ZB) cçha<sup>13</sup>; Queyu (QYY) tsə<sup>53</sup>, (QYX) şsa<sup>35</sup>; and Qiang (QA) qɛ sɛ, (QT) sie<sup>33</sup> tchy<sup>33</sup>.<sup>50</sup>

Finally, a few more miscellaneous wedge-words (from ZMYYC #413 and/or TBL #620) from languages whose phonological history is quite obscure, but which also have affricate initials: Bai (Dali) t $\epsilon i^{35}$ , Bai (Jianchuan)  $t\epsilon i^{55}$  ne<sup>21</sup> (for the second element see below 5.2); Tujia t $\epsilon h^{55}$ .

## 4.2 Written Burmese sap and its congeners

Quite a separate root is represented by WB sap 'wedge'<sup>51</sup>, which surprisingly has a perfect cognate in Tshangla Monpa (Menba Cangluo Motuo) sap 'wedge' (ZMYYC #413, p. 783; TBL #620, p. 207). The form cited in Lu Shaozun 1986:170 is the compound  $cen^{55}sap^{55}$ , with 'wood' as its first element, contrasting etymologically with Monpa Tshona  $cen^{55}zer^{13}$ , cited above 4.1.

A Qiangic form which certainly appears cognate is Daofu zav 'wedge' (TBL #620), with the unusual rhyme -av paralleled in at least two other unimpeachable \*-ap etyma:

'snot' PTB *s-nap	[STC #102]	> Daofu snav	(ZMYYC #278; TBL #157)
'repay' PTB *tsap	[STC #63]	>Daofu xshav	(TBL #1183)
		🗙 xsav	(TBL #1381)

The closely related Ergong language has similarly parallel forms for 'wedge' and SNOT:

Ergong sur zau 'wedge (ZMYYC #413) snau 'snot'52

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Despite its superficial similarity to these forms, Jinuo (aberrant Loloish)  $z\epsilon^{\alpha} / z\epsilon^{\pi}$  has been assigned to PLB \*N-džam because of parallel reflexes in several other \*-am etyma (above 2.34).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> It is hard to be sure of the actual phonetic realization of this cluster "cch-". The Qiangic languages are remarkable for their profusion of fricatives and affricates, difficult for the non-native to produce and to distinguish. See Matisoff 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> The first syllable of this QT form looks like Mand. xie.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> This is mistranscribed as thep in ZMYYC #413.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> The Muerzong dialect of Ergong also has a labiodental reflex of **\*-ap**, e.g. 'needle' usf (< **\*k**-rap), 'fold' lttf (< **\*l**-tap) [p.c., Sun Tianshin].

A strong Kamarupan cognate is Tangkhul Naga thin-tap 'wedge' [Pettigrew 1918:211, 456]. Like other Kuki-Chin-Naga languages (e.g. Mizo, Lai), Tangkhul has developed dental stops from PTB \*s- [see STC p.28], e.g. 'die' \*səy > TN thi, 'wood' \*siŋ > TN thiŋ [this is the first element in the compound for 'wedge' just cited]. The lack of aspiration in the second syllable of thin-tap is perhaps due to its non-initial position as a bound constituent of the compound (tap does not appear as a head entry in Pettigrew).

I have just learned that another Kamarupan language, Lai Chin, has an obviously cognate form, tsop (p.c., Kenneth VanBik).

Another possible reflex of \*sap is Naxi (Lijiang) şua<sup>55</sup> 'wedge' (but see 2.34 above).

Finally, there is a solid comparandum in Chinese: 椄 OC ts iap (GSR 635f) 'peg, tenon'.

Since this etymon must now definitely be set up for PTB and probably for PST as well, this leads us to a new question. Could Dayang Pumi tsó, which started this whole investigation, perhaps come from \*sap instead of \*N-czam? There is actually one shred of evidence that this might be so: the Pumi word for 'needle' (< PTB \*k-rap; see TSR #191) has the same rhyme as Pumi 'wedge'. As a matter of fact, the Namuyi words for 'needle' and 'wedge' also have the same rhyme o:

'needle'	PTB *k-rap	WB 2ap	Pumi Dayang qhŏ	Namuyi 10 <sup>33</sup>
'wedge'	PTB *sap	WB sap	Pumi Dayang tsó	Namuyi şo <sup>35</sup>

Unfortunately, however, no further examples of Dayang -o < PTB \*-ap have yet been uncovered.<sup>53</sup> Dayang reflexes of etyma in \*-ap include -a (WEEP \*krap > PD  $\chi$ qwá), and  $\sigma$  (SHOOT \*gap > PD thč).<sup>54</sup>

# 5.0 WORDS FOR WEDGE IN OTHER BRANCHES OF TIBETO-BURMAN; OTHER ETYMA FOR WEDGE

Several forms in the little-known Abor-Miri-Dafla (Mirish) branch of TB have forms for 'wedge' with affricate initials and non-front vowels that look superficially very much like our Pumi tsó, but which remain equally obscure in origin (data from ZMYYC #413; TBL #620):

Darang Deng (=Taraon)	ta <sup>31</sup> tsau <sup>53</sup>
Geman Deng (=Kaman)	da <sup>31</sup> tsuu <sup>55</sup>
Idu (Luoba)	a <sup>55</sup> tsu <sup>55</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Except perhaps for Dayang  $m_0 \circ siN$  'morning' < PTB \*m-nap. This root also has a wellattested variant \*m-nak in Lolo-Burmese (see TSR #131).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Although there are over 30 cognate sets reconstructed with PTB and/or PLB \*-ap in STC and TSR, only a handful of them have so far been shown to have solid Qiangic cognates.

A few other new etyma for 'wedge' may perhaps be reconstructible, though the evidence is still scattered:

### 5.1 \*san

The Akha (S. Loloish) word for seh, 'wedge' cannot be from \*-am, since the regular Akha reflex of \*-am is definitely syllabic /-m/ (see above 2.51), but might be from PLB \*san<sup>2</sup> (cf. 'louse' PLB \*san<sup>1/2</sup> > Ak. sheh`).  $\frac{55}{56}$ 

This would make the Akha form a perfect cognate to Dulong (Nungish group) san<sup>55</sup> 'wedge' (TBL #620). We should probably also include another Nungish form in this set, Anong  $ga^{31}san^{55}$  (ZMYYC #413; TBL #620), despite the difference in position of the final nasal.

Less secure would be an attempt to relate Qiangic forms like Shixing (SXS)  $\tilde{s}^{55}$  (SXM)  $\tilde{s}^{53}$  to this root, though anything is possible.

In any event, this new etymon seems quite distinct both from \*džam and \*sap.

### 5.2 \*-n(y)e

This flimsily attested item occurs as the second syllables of compounds in Apatani (Tani group of Mirish) and Bai, two languages whose geographic separation precludes contact with each other:

Apatani	pui-ñe	J. Sun 1993
Apatani	ú-ñe	ibid.
Bai (Jianchuan)	tçî <sup>55</sup> ne <sup>21</sup>	ZMYYC #413, p. 783

## 5.3 \*ka

This equally flimsy prospective etymon occurs only in the (unclassified) Tujia language and in Tibetan:

Tujia (Northern)	ko <sup>21</sup>	wedge/clip	Tian and He 1986
Tujia (Southern)	kha <sup>33</sup>	wedge/clip	id.
Tibetan (Written)	ka-ru	wedge	Jäschke, p. 2

The non-aspirated Tibetan initial immediately stamps this lexical item as somehow aberrant, perhaps a loanword, since non-prefixed WT syllables with voiceless obstruent initials are overwhelmingly aspirated in native vocabulary.

<sup>55</sup> But 'hawk' \*dzwan<sup>1</sup> gives Ak. k'a, de .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> The Akha compound for 'nail' is shm' seh, ('iron' + 'wedge', with the first syllable < \*syam). This compound thus has the same *semantic* structure as WT léags-gzer 'nail', though both syllables are *etymologically* distinct in the two languages. The first syllable of the Lahu compound šo-chû 'nail' reflects the same etymon for 'iron' as the Akha compound, though the second element means 'thorn' < PTB \*tsow [STC # 276].

#### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Although we have not achieved our original goal of etymologizing Pumi tsó 'wedge', the attempt to do so has yielded a number of side benefits. We have reconstructed several new roots for 'wedge', including PLB \*N-džam<sup>2</sup>, Proto-Himalayish \*-zer, and PTB \*sap, clarifying in the process the fate of the rhymes \*-am and \*-ap in both Lolo-Burmese and Qiangic. A number of other forms have not been assigned with certainty to any of these etyma, but at least some of the difficulties involved have been expounded.

It will be challenging to work out Qiangic phonological developments in sufficient detail to establish the exact nature of the relationship of this branch of TB to the other subgroups of the family. Although Qiangic initial consonants are justly famous for their manifold complexities, the rhymes of Qiangic languages (except for the rGyalrong/Ergong group) are often just as depleted as those of Loloish, with total loss of post-vocalic consonants. The phonological evolution of originally \*closed syllables in Qiangic seems particularly intricate.57 Compounding the comparativist's headaches is the high degree of dialectal differentiation within individual Qiangic languages. Some of the invaluable data provided in recent Chinese sources may be insufficiently phonemicized, so that certain reflex-patterns appear more complicated than they actually are. Despite the copiousness of these published sources, many key cognate forms are undoubtedly still lacking, not because they do not exist, but simply because they were accidentally not recorded, in favor of a more or less synonymous form. It behooves us then to approach comparisons between Qiangic and other branches of TB with due humility.

In closing, I cannot resist one speculative semantic sally We have seen that the PLB root \*N-dźam<sup>2</sup> 'wedge' is almost identical (except for tone) with PLB \*Ndzam<sup>1</sup> 'bridge'. Could there be some intrinsic semantic connection between the two concepts? Wedges have both splitting and joining functions: they can be used to pry things apart,<sup>58</sup> or conversely to bridge the gap between objects that are too far apart (in the manner of a shim). The 'bridge' of a violin wedges the strings apart from the sounding-board, while simultaneously connecting the four strings together by causing them to vibrate over the same thin piece of wood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Not that the evolution of \*open syllables is straightforward either! Even \*-a, the most common of all TB rhymes, has complex conditioned reflexes in Dayang Pumi, with the most common reflex being \*-i. See Matisoff 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Cf. Jingpho sjum-prjat 'wedge' (< phrjat 'split').

#### Wedge issues

#### REFERENCES

- BENEDICT, Paul K. 1972. Sino-Tibetan: a Conspectus. Contributing Editor, James A. Matisoff. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ("STC")
- BRADLEY, David. 1994. A Dictionary of the Northern Dialect of Lisu. Based on Xu Lin, Mu Yuzhang, et al., Lisu-Chinese Dictionary (Kunming 1985). Pacific Linguistics C-126. Canberra; Australian National University.
- BURLING, Robbins. 1967. Proto-Lolo-Burmese. Indiana Research Center in Anthropology, Folklore, and Linguistics, Pub. #43. The Hague: Mouton.
- DAI Qingxia, et al, eds. 1992. 藏缅语族语言词汇 Zlangmiǎn yǔzú yǔyán cíhuŋ1. [A Tibeto-Burman Lexicon] Beijing: Central Institute of Nationalities Press. ("TBL")
- JÄSCHKE, H.A. 1881. A Tibetan-English Dictionary. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul Ltd. Reprinted 1958.
- LU Shaozun. 1983. 普米语简志 Pǔmí-yǔ jiǎnzhu. [Brief Description of the Pumi Language] Beijing: People's Publishing Co.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1986. 错那门巴语简志 Cupoma Ménbā-yǔ Jiǎnzhī. [Brief Description of the Cuona Menba Language] Beijing: People's Publishing Co.
- MATISOFF, James A. 1972. The Loloish Tonal Split Revisited. Research Monograph #7. Berkeley: Center for South and Southeast Asia Studies. ("TSR")
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1973. *The Grammar of Lahu*. University of California Publications in Linguistics #75. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press. Reprinted 1982.
- . 1975. "Rhinoglottophilia: the mysterious connection between nasality and glottality." In C. Ferguson, L. Hyman, and J. Ohala, eds., *Nasálfest: Papers from a Symposium on Nasals and Nasalization*, pp. 265-87. Stanford, CA.

- \_\_\_\_\_ . 1978. "Mpi and Lolo-Burmese microlinguistics." Monumenta Serindica (Tokyo) #4, 36 pp.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1988. *The Dictionary of Lahu*. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press. ("DL")
- \_\_\_\_\_\_. 1992. "A key etymology." Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area 15.1:139-43.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_. 1994. "On keys and wedges: comment on Sagart's discussion note." Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area 17.1:169-71.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_. 1998. "Dlayáng Pumi phonology and adumbrations of comparative Qiangic." *Mon-Khmer Studies* 27:171-213.
- MAZAUDON, Martine. 1996. "An outline of the historical phonology of the dialects of Nar-Phu (Nepal)." *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 19.1:103-114.
- PETTIGREW, W. 1918. Tängkhul Nägä Grammar and Dictionary (Ukhrul Dialect). Shillong.
- SAGART, Laurent. 1994. "Discussion note: reply to James A. Matisoff's 'A *key* etymology." *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 17.1:167-8.
- SUN Hongkai, et al, eds. 1991. 藏缅语语音和词汇 Zlangmiǎnyǔ yǔyīn hé cíhul1. [Phonologies and Vocabularies of Tibeto-Burman Languages] Beijing: Chinese Academy of Social Sciences Press. ("ZMYYC")
- SUN, Jackson Tianshin. 1993. A Historical-Comparative Study of the Tani (Mirish) Branch of Tibeto-Burman. Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_. 1992. "Review of Zangmianyu Yuyin he Cihui ." Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area 15.2:73-113.
- TIAN Desheng, HE Tianzhen, et al., eds. 1986. 土家语简志 Tŭjiā-yǔ Jiǎnzhu. [Brief Description of the Tujia Language] Beijing: Nationalities Press.